

# #1

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, February 10, 2022 7:52:50 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, February 10, 2022 7:58:05 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:14  
**IP Address:** 170.144.216.10

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information:

Name:	<b>John Montgomery</b>
City/Town:	<b>Sandpoint</b>
State/Province:	<b>ID</b>
Email Address:	<b>jcodymonty@gmail.com</b>

---

## Q2

Please comment on the Proposed Peregrine Falcon Falconry take for 2022-23.

20 birds per year is way too many. This practice needs revisited. Wait on the USFWS for collaborative guidance and suspend take to 10 birds...NONE by non-residents. This is coming from a non-resident.

---

#2

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, February 10, 2022 8:39:20 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, February 10, 2022 8:51:47 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:12:27  
**IP Address:** 38.141.44.69

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Contact information:

**Name:** Chris Scranton  
**City/Town:** Stevensville  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** pcscranton@msn.com

---

**Q2**

Please comment on the Proposed Peregrine Falcon Falconry take for 2022-23.

I am an avid hunter and bird watcher. I am against the idea of any falcons being taken from nests for the hobby of falconry. These peregrine falcons should be allowed to live their lives in freedom. Taking a wild falcon out of a nest and keeping it captive is wrong. I would propose eliminating all peregrine falcon take. FWP is catering to a very small minority of people who are falconers. These birds are public wildlife and should be left in the wild so everyone in the state can enjoy them. Taking peregrine falcons from nests is wildlife harassment of a recently delisted species.

---

#3

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, February 10, 2022 9:09:23 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, February 10, 2022 9:10:17 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:53  
**IP Address:** 161.7.39.7

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Contact information:

Name: **Mark Szczypinski**  
City/Town: **Roundup**  
State/Province: **MT**

---

**Q2**

Please comment on the Proposed Peregrine Falcon Falconry take for 2022-23.

I support the motion as presented.

---

#4

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, February 27, 2022 6:31:07 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, February 27, 2022 6:43:06 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:11:59  
**IP Address:** 184.155.12.62

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Contact information:

Name: **Steve Schaefer**  
City/Town: **Prescott**  
State/Province: **AZ**  
Email Address: **sjsschaefer@gmail.com**

---

**Q2**

Please comment on the Proposed Peregrine Falcon Falconry take for 2022-23.

I support the 22-23 take regulations as proposed.

---

#5

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, March 07, 2022 3:55:53 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, March 07, 2022 4:12:49 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:16:55  
**IP Address:** 158.68.216.131

---

Page 1

### Q1

Contact information:

Name:	<b>Scot Franklin</b>
City/Town:	<b>Butte</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>scot_franklin@hotmail.com</b>

---

### Q2

Please comment on the Proposed Peregrine Falcon Falconry take for 2022-23.

There is no reason for anybody to take peregrines. They have not recovered to anywhere near the level bald eagles have, and we're not allowed to take them. They are a state species of concern, and sensitive species for USFS and BLM. Only an asshole like Gianforte (who likes to shoot wolves with a paw caught in a trap and shoot cougars treed by dogs) would want to kill one. We can manage wildlife without license money from people like that.

---