

**ELK MANAGEMENT CITIZEN ADVISORY GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS**  
**FWP STAFF ASSESSMENT**  
**AUGUST 31, 2022**

**Stakeholder Meetings**

Division/Unit/Bureau	Staff Assessment
Accounting Bureau	No comment.
Comm Ed	Clear direction and purpose would be important to clearly delineate the roll of a group or groups. Other collaboration efforts on elk management like the Devils Kitchen Workgroup and the PLPW could cause public confusion on what the stakeholder group’s purpose is and what role they have with FWP. Would need to understand and articulate the difference in purpose between a stakeholder meeting and the meetings describe in recommendation 7.
Enforcement Division	Currently, Wardens are expected to attend stakeholder meetings/season setting meetings, sportsman meetings, etc. in their district. They are on hand to represent FWP and answer questions when needed. Additional meetings will increase the workload in these areas.
Legal Unit	No comment.
Licensing Bureau	No comment.
Parks and Outdoor Recreation Division	Currently staff participate in a variety of meetings with these described stakeholders including annual industry and Private Land/Public Wildlife Council meetings though not all stakeholders are always present together. The Division could participate in other stakeholder gatherings.
Technology Services Division	No comment.
Wildlife Division	<p>Good idea. It is always good for different interests to listen to one another. FWP could participate in such an endeavor. Will be important to have clear roles and responsibilities for all participants up front, especially FWP.</p> <p>This is kind of what PL/PW was established to accomplish.</p> <p>Although it makes sense that the usual “majority rules” would not be as effective in such a diverse group as what is named in this recommendation, it would be helpful to have more detail regarding the voting; if majority rules doesn’t work, what other options would work better?</p>