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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks has conducted statewide angling mail surveys for more than 50 years. Bishop $(1959,1960,1961)$ conducted the first recorded mail survey of fishing pressure on a statewide basis for Montana from 1958-1960. In 1968 Holton (1970) again initiated the statewide angling pressure mail survey. Holton (1971) conducted another statewide survey for the 1969 license year. No results were reported because it was felt they were too high due to sampling problems. In 1975, Gaffney (unpublished data) conducted a statewide survey of angling pressure by mail. An attempt was made to continue that statewide survey in 1976 using the 1975 mailing lists. This did not provide adequate samples for nonresidents, so only resident pressure was obtained. The surveys were started again in 1982 and run for four consecutive years (McFarland, 1989). In 1986 the surveys were again canceled for lack of funding. In March 1989, the statewide angling use mail survey was again reinitiated, and has been conducted on a biennial basis since that time.

The number of questionnaires in the survey has varied over the years. Between 1989 and 2011, the number has been in the range of 89,000-97,000 for all but two surveys (68,505 in 2001 and 80,125 in 2005). In 2013, the effort was scaled back to 67,603 questionnaires, a drop of $25 \%$ from 2011. The 2015 survey effort was 67,600 questionaires, the same as 2013. In 2017 the survey was again scaled back due to budget cuts. A total of 40,300 surveys were mailed out in 2017, a $40 \%$ cut over 2015. The consequence of this change is that it increases error measurements for waters and decreases the number of waters for which a pressure estimate can be calculated. In 2019 the survey went back to the 2015 effort to decrease error and increase the number of waters for which a pressure estimate can be calculated.

In the current survey there have been only a few new changes made to the maps that accompany the questionnaire. It is still worthy of mention because any change has the potential to influence the angler response, and ultimately angler pressure estimates. The Clark Fork River map underwent minor changes designed to help anglers identify their fishing location. The title of the map was changed to include the reservoirs and the reservoir names were put in bold font in the hopes that anglers would more easily differentiate fishing in the river versus the reservoirs. Each section was also designated by a note indicating the end points of the section. Beaver Creek was moved to the back page while the Gallatin River and Big Spring Creek maps were moved to the front page of the survey letter along with a note to let anglers know that there were more maps on the back side. The Bitterroot River and the Gallatin River are included in 2019 while the Boulder River was excluded for lack of space. When there is no map, the nearest town or landmark is used to determine which section of the river was fished when the respondent does not include the section.

Contents of the questionnaire changed slightly in 2019. All license holders surveyed were asked whether they knew that any watercraft (boat, kayak, raft, drift boat, jet ski, etc.) must stop at roadside Watercraft Inspection Stations. Questions regarding Fishing Access Site (FAS) use were included again in this survey and the type of fishing (shore, boat, both, or ice) question
from the 2013 (and all prior) survey was once again included. The primary purpose of the FAS question was to quantify the percentage of anglers who use FASs to access waterbodies. This information also proved helpful in identifying specific sections of rivers that were fished.

### 2.0 METHODS

### 2.1 MAIL SURVEYS

The 2019 statewide angling mail pressure survey was conducted during the license year beginning March 2019 and ending February 2020. The methods used by R. McFarland for surveys conducted from 1989 through 2009 provided the framework for the 2019 survey.

Samples were drawn from the Department's Automated Licensing System (ALS) on the first day of each month. All anglers who purchased a two-day or ten-day license valid for use in the previous month as well as all anglers who purchased or held a season fishing license valid for use in the previous month were included in the eligible angler population. A computer program was written in ORACLE to create three populations of anglers from which to draw samples. A season population, a 2-day population, and a 10-day population were created each month. The licenses that comprise these three populations of anglers are:

1. NonResident 2-day license: enables the nonresident angler to fish for two consecutive days of their choice. Anglers may purchase as many two-day licenses as they want.
2. NonResident 10-day license: enables the nonresident angler to fish for 10 consecutive days of fishing. Anglers may purchase as many ten-day licenses as they want.
3. NonResident Season license includes:

- combo license - combines a nonresident conservation license and seasonal fishing license.
- seasonal license
- deer combo license - includes a deer tag and a fishing license.
- big game combo - includes a conservation license, an elk tag, a deer "A" tag, a black bear tag, a fishing license and an upland game bird license.

4. Resident 2-day license: valid for 2 consecutive days at a reduced cost.
5. Resident Season license includes:

- season license
- combo license - combines a season fishing license and a conservation license
- sportsman's license - provides a deer "A" tag, elk tag, optional bear tag, conservation license, a game bird stamp and a fishing license
- "senior" license - 62 years of age and older
- "youth" license - ages 12 to 17
- disabled license - certified as permanently and substantially disabled

An ACCESS table was used to pull a random sample from each population. Sampling was done on a monthly-stratified basis (Table 1). The number pulled from each population was proportionally derived from the angling pressure each population exerted based on previous surveys. A 25/75 ratio to sample non-resident and resident anglers was used in the current survey--the same ratio that has been used since 2007 as reported by McFarland (2009) who found that residents provide approximately $75 \%$ of angling pressure. The ratio is $25 / 75$ for this current survey.

The individual samples from each population (by month) were assigned to a wave (Table 1) and given sequential serial numbers. The database of names and addresses were run through a software program (a service provided by Print \& Mail Service in Helena) to validate addresses and assign correct 4 -digit zip code extensions. Only addresses that passed the mail validation were included in the final sample. This helped reduce the number of non-deliverable surveys. An ACCESS report was written to export the monthly sample data into a spreadsheet for mail merging with the survey WORD document. The merged file contained a single page for each angler included in the sample. This merged file and a separate map file were sent to Print \& Mail Services (State of Montana) in Helena, MT where the survey was printed (two-sided), stuffed into envelopes and mailed via first class mail.

Table 1. Period-of-time covered for waves for the 2019-2020 Statewide Angling Survey.

| Wave | Time Period Covered | Season Designation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | March 2019 | Winter |
| 2 | April | Winter |
| 3 | May | Summer |
| 4 | June | Summer |
| 5 | July | Summer |
| 6 | August | Summer |
| 7 | September | Summer |
| 8 | October | Winter |
| 9 | November | Winter |
| 10 | December | Winter |
| 11 | January 2020 | Winter |
| 12 | February | Winter |

The sample size for the 2019 survey was the same as the 2015 survey. Actual numbers of questionnaires sent varied slightly from wave to wave (Table 2). For the "summer" waves (3 through 7) 8,400 residents and nonresidents were sampled each month. In the "winter" waves ( 8 through 12 plus 2), the rate dropped to 4,200 residents and nonresidents. Because wave 1 had fewer license holders from which to sample, this wave was sampled at a less intense level.

A single questionnaire was used for all groups. The questionnaire (see Section 6.0 for an example), included questions on: what water was fished; nearest landmark or town; section of stream or river fished (taken from maps on the front survey page and the map page on the back of the survey); number of days fished; number of days fished at an FAS and the name(s) of the FAS; the one fish species they were primarily fishing for. The question on FAS use (new in 2015 and included in 2017) was retained in the 2019 survey. The type of fishing (shore, boat, ice or a combination) was also included again in 2019 (it was removed in 2015 and reinstated in 2017).

To ease the sorting process, different colored forms were used for each wave as well as for initial and remail mailings. Surveys were mailed "first class pre-sort" for all the waves.

## Table 2. Number of questionnaires sent for each wave by residency for the 2019 license year.

|  | Mailed |  | Useable (mailed <br> minus <br> undeliverable) |  | Returns (initial <br> and remail) |  | Return Rate <br> Percentage |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wave | Res |  | Nonres | Res | Nonres | Res | Nonres | Res |
| Nonres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01 | 300 | 100 | 290 | 94 | 117 | 31 | $40.34 \%$ | $32.98 \%$ |
| 02 | 3150 | 1050 | 3067 | 1010 | 1216 | 342 | $39.65 \%$ | $33.86 \%$ |
| 03 | 6300 | 2100 | 6087 | 2017 | 2222 | 647 | $36.50 \%$ | $32.08 \%$ |
| 04 | 6300 | 2100 | 6095 | 2033 | 2134 | 676 | $35.01 \%$ | $33.25 \%$ |
| 05 | 6300 | 2100 | 6059 | 2031 | 2003 | 645 | $33.06 \%$ | $31.76 \%$ |
| 06 | 6300 | 2100 | 6058 | 2033 | 2090 | 712 | $34.50 \%$ | $35.02 \%$ |
| 07 | 6300 | 2100 | 6018 | 2015 | 2162 | 715 | $35.93 \%$ | $35.48 \%$ |
| 08 | 3150 | 1050 | 2995 | 1015 | 1124 | 375 | $37.53 \%$ | $36.95 \%$ |
| 09 | 3150 | 1050 | 3023 | 1000 | 1097 | 320 | $36.29 \%$ | $32.00 \%$ |
| 10 | 3150 | 1050 | 3006 | 1003 | 1115 | 333 | $37.09 \%$ | $33.20 \%$ |
| 11 | 3150 | 1050 | 2985 | 1003 | 1164 | 339 | $38.99 \%$ | $33.80 \%$ |
| 12 | 3150 | 1050 | 3022 | 1012 | 1112 | 319 | $36.80 \%$ | $31.52 \%$ |

Remail questionnaires were mailed to those individuals who had not yet responded, from four to six weeks after the initial mailing. Returns for each wave were monitored and when they slowed down to a few each day the remail was sent. Included on the remail survey was a note explaining that we hadn't received their survey yet but if they had sent one in and our mail crossed paths, to please disregard this second request (see Section 6.0 for survey examples). Returns were grouped and counted according to type of license (residency), wave and mailing (initial or remail). Surveys returned as undeliverable were subtracted from the sample size.

Returned questionnaires were sorted into those that had fished in Montana during the period in question and those that had not. The "yes" respondents were keyed into an Access database using forms and lookup fields. A record was entered for each stream or lake fished. Both the stream or lake name and the nearest town or landmark was entered for each record. These data were used to identify a specific watercode for each record. Edits were run to correct invalid water codes and data out of normal ranges.

Phone surveys have been used in the past for the purpose of determining nonresponse bias associated with the mail surveys and for making adjustments to pressure estimates accordingly. The most recent phone survey was conducted in 1997. It showed no statistically significant difference in response rate between the phone and mail surveys. No phone surveys were conducted in 2019, so it was assumed that there was no nonresponse bias and no adjustment necessary.

Fishing pressure estimates were made for individual waters based upon the formula:

$$
P_{j}=\sum_{i=l}^{n}\left[\frac{E_{i j} * D_{i j}}{R_{i j}}\right] * A_{i j}
$$

where $P_{j}=$ Pressure for an individual water by the $j^{\text {th }}$ residency

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{i j}=\text { Number of eligible anglers for the } i^{\text {th }} \text { wave and } j^{\text {th }} \text { residency } \\
& D_{i j}=\text { Days fished that particular water for the } i^{\text {th }} \text { wave and } j^{\text {th }} \text { residency } \\
& R_{i j}=\text { Number of respondents from the survey for the } i^{\text {th }} \text { wave and } j^{\text {th }} \text { residency } \\
& A_{i j}=\text { Adjustment factor for non-response for the } i^{\text {th }} \text { wave and } j^{\text {th }} \text { residency } \\
& n=\text { number of waves in the estimate year or season } \\
& j=\text { number of residency types (resident, nonresident, or total) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance was then calculated using:

$$
\operatorname{VAR}\left(P_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{E_{i j}^{2} * \operatorname{VAR}\left(D_{i j}\right)}{R_{i j}}\right] * A_{i j}^{2}
$$

where $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ij}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ij}}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ij}}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ are the same as above.
Pressure estimates between waves and residency were assumed to be independent so variances were summed to obtain total variances. The square root of the variance was calculated and this number was reported as the error for fishing pressure.

### 3.0 RESULTS

### 3.1 ANGLER PRESSURE ESTIMATES ANNUAL (MARCH 2019-FEBRUARY 2020)

Licensed anglers fishing on Montana waters were estimated to have exerted 3,143,022 angler days of pressure for the 2019 license year (Table 3). Residents accounted for 1,927,654 angler days ( $61 \%$ ) and nonresidents made up the remaining 1,215,367 angler days (39\%). Estimates for individual waters were sorted alphabetically and are presented in Appendix A of this report.

The distribution of angler pressure among Fish, Wildlife and Parks regions (Figure 1) is heavily skewed toward the western and central portions of the state (Chart 1). Region 3 received the most angling pressure with 863,369 angler days ( $27.5 \%$ ), followed by Region 4 with 590,972 angler days ( $18.8 \%$ ). Regions 2, 1 and 5 were next in order and close to each other, with 548,130 $(17.4 \%), 474,955(15.1 \%)$, and $349,356(11.1 \%)$ angler pressure days respectively. The easternmost regions of 6 and 7 were the lowest in pressure with 234,891 (7.5\%) and 69,014 ( $2.2 \%$ ) angler days respectively.

Residents (Chart 1) exerted the majority of angling pressure in 2019 in all regions but Region 3. The percent of angling pressure by residents for each region was: Region $1-72.1 \%$, Region $2-$ $61.6 \%$, Region $3-44.5 \%$, Region $4-74.2 \%$, Region $5-55.6 \%$, Region $6-70.6 \%$, and Region $7-80.9 \%$. July (wave 5) was, overall, the peak fishing period, while March (wave 1) was the least fished period during the year (Table 4). Residents fished the most in July (wave 5) and nonresidents also fished the most during July (wave 5). Residents fished least in December (wave 10) while nonresidents fished least in March (wave 1).

Angling on lotic waters (streams/rivers) accounted for $64.7 \%$ (2,025,288 angler days) of the statewide pressure while lentic waters (lakes/ponds/reservoirs) accounted for $35.3 \%(1,103,251$ angler days) of the pressure (Table 3).

Regions 1 and 6 were the two regions in which lake angling pressure exceeded stream pressure ( $63.2 \%$ and $78.6 \%$, respectively from lakes), although the lake pressure in Region 6 was due primarily to angling on one water (Fort Peck Reservoir) (Table 3, Chart 2). Region 4 was relatively balanced between stream and lake angling, although the lake angling pressure in Region 4 was the greatest for any region of the state (272,322 angler days). Regions 2, 3, 5 and 7 were dominated by stream anglers, and while Region 3 had the highest number of stream anglers for any region (715,921 angler days), Region 5 had the highest percentage ( $85 \%$ ) of anglers that were stream anglers.

Table 3. Angling Pressure in angler days by Region by Lake or Stream for the 2019 survey license year.

|  | ----- Totals |  | Resident |  | Non-Resident ----- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pressure | Trips | Pressure | Trips | Pressure | Trips |
| Region: 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 473 | 4 |  |  | 473 | 4 |
| Lake | 299,662 | 2,606 | 238,059 | 2,155 | 61,603 | 451 |
| Stream | 174,820 | 1,488 | 104,309 | 987 | 70,510 | 501 |
| Total: | 474,955 | 4,098 | 342,368 | 3,142 | 132,586 | 956 |
| Region: 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Lake | 123,772 | 1,079 | 99,077 | 923 | 24,695 | 156 |
| Stream | 424,357 | 3,530 | 238,296 | 2,172 | 186,061 | 1,358 |
| Total: | 548,130 | 4,609 | 337,373 | 3,095 | 210,756 | 1,514 |
| Region: 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 463 | 5 |  |  | 463 | 5 |
| Lake | 146,984 | 1,202 | 88,385 | 771 | 58,600 | 431 |
| Stream | 715,921 | 5,752 | 295,892 | 2,704 | 420,029 | 3,048 |
| Total: | 863,369 | 6,959 | 384,277 | 3,475 | 479,092 | 3,484 |
| Region: 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 386 | 4 | 386 | 4 |  |  |
| Lake | 272,322 | 2,617 | 247,378 | 2,435 | 24,944 | 182 |
| Stream | 318,265 | 2,726 | 190,460 | 1,741 | 127,804 | 985 |
| Total: | 590,972 | 5,347 | 438,224 | 4,180 | 152,748 | 1,167 |
| Region: 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 694 | 4 | 84 | 1 | 610 | 3 |
| Lake | 52,422 | 502 | 39,757 | 408 | 12,665 | 94 |
| Stream | 296,239 | 2,465 | 154,521 | 1,427 | 141,719 | 1,038 |
| Total: | 349,356 | 2,971 | 194,362 | 1,836 | 154,994 | 1,135 |
| Region: 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 184,588 | 1,560 | 124,292 | 1,201 | 60,296 | 359 |
| Stream | 50,302 | 480 | 41,644 | 421 | 8,658 | 59 |
| Total: | 234,891 | 2,040 | 165,936 | 1,622 | 68,954 | 418 |
| Region: 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 130 | 2 | 130 | 2 |  |  |
| Lake | 23,501 | 226 | 17,235 | 188 | 6,265 | 38 |
| Stream | 45,383 | 456 | 38,453 | 390 | 6,930 | 66 |
| Total: | 69,014 | 684 | 55,818 | 580 | 13,195 | 104 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide Pressure Estimates for the 2019 Survey License Year. <br> ----- Totals $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  | ----- Non-Resident ------ |  |
|  | Pressure | Trips |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 12,823 | 124 | 9,466 | 98 | 3,356 | 26 |
| Lake | 1,104,995 | 9,808 | 854,696 | 8,088 | 250,299 | 1,720 |
| Stream | 2,025,288 | 16,897 | 1,063,576 | 9,842 | 961,712 | 7,055 |
| Statewide Total | 3,143,106 | 26,829 | 1,927,738 | 18,028 | 1,215,367 | 8,801 |

# Chart 1. Statewide Angling Pressure Comparing Region and Residency 



Chart 2. Statewide Angling Pressure Comparing Region and Water Type


| Table 4. Pressure in angler days by wave for the 2019 survey license year. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Wave | Month | Total | Resident | Nonresident |
| 01 | March | 67,248 | 61,421 | 5,827 |
| 02 | April | 144,007 | 83,731 | 60,276 |
| 03 | May | 242,823 | 167,620 | 75,203 |
| 04 | June | 423,983 | 294,390 | 129,593 |
| 05 | July | 637,253 | 411,753 | 225,500 |
| 06 | August | 555,284 | 347,697 | 207,587 |
| 07 | September | 381,139 | 211,847 | 169,292 |
| 08 | October | 224,515 | 109,057 | 115,458 |
| 09 | November | 121,618 | 51,045 | 70,572 |
| 10 | December | 92,056 | 44,497 | 47,559 |
| 11 | January | 128,950 | 80,352 | 48,599 |
| 12 | February | 124,230 | 64,329 | 59,901 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Angling pressure was summarized by the 40 major drainages within the state as identified in the 2019 Statewide Fisheries Management Program and Guide (Figure 1, Table 5). The pressure by drainage ranged from a high of 325,896 angler days for the Madison River drainage to a low of 184 angler days for the Powder River drainage. The drainage with the highest percent of resident anglers was the Upper Milk River (96.7\%), while the Little Missouri River had the lowest percentage of resident anglers ( $0 \%$ ). The Fort Peck Reservoir drainage had the highest percentage of lake anglers ( $91.9 \%$ ) (the Little Missouri River drainage had $100 \%$ but that was for only 2 trips), mainly due to the influence of Fort Peck Reservoir, while the Missouri RiverDearborn and Belt Creek had the lowest percentage of lake anglers ( $1.4 \%$ and $0.0 \%$ ).


Figure 1: Statewide Management Plan Drainages


|  | --- Totals ---Pressure Trips |  | --- Resident -Pressure Trips |  | --- Non-Resident --- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pressure | Trips |
| Fort Peck Reservoir |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 137,645 | 1,177 |  |  | 85,435 | 863 | 52,210 | 314 |
| Stream | 12,378 | 117 | 8,454 | 94 | 3,924 | 23 |
| Total: | 150,023 | 1,294 | 93,889 | 957 | 56,134 | 337 |
| Gallatin River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 18,815 | 152 | 12,802 | 111 | 6,013 | 41 |
| Stream | 157,940 | 1,208 | 83,032 | 688 | 74,908 | 520 |
| Total: | 176,755 | 1,360 | 95,834 | 799 | 80,921 | 561 |
| Jefferson River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 10,109 | 84 | 8,821 | 74 | 1,288 | 10 |
| Stream | 10,740 | 93 | 5,265 | 56 | 5,475 | 37 |
| Total: | 20,849 | 177 | 14,086 | 130 | 6,763 | 47 |
| Kootenai River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 59,980 | 513 | 43,498 | 390 | 16,482 | 123 |
| Stream | 31,633 | 265 | 17,940 | 171 | 13,693 | 94 |
| Total: | 91,614 | 778 | 61,438 | 561 | 30,175 | 217 |
| Little Missouri River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 388 | 2 |  |  | 388 | 2 |
| Total: | 388 | 2 |  |  | 388 | 2 |
| Lower Clark Fork River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 68,109 | 601 | 57,935 | 525 | 10,174 | 76 |
| Stream | 33,501 | 289 | 21,388 | 200 | 12,113 | 89 |
| Total: | 101,610 | 890 | 79,323 | 725 | 22,287 | 165 |
| Lower Milk River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 626 | 4 | 626 | 4 |  |  |
| Stream | 4,133 | 47 | 3,894 | 44 | 239 | 3 |
| Total: | 4,760 | 51 | 4,520 | 48 | 239 | 3 |
| Lower Missouri River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 1,096 | 10 | 1,016 | 9 | 80 | 1 |
| Stream | 1,259 | 15 | 1,152 | 14 | 107 | 1 |
| Total: | 2,355 | 25 | 2,168 | 23 | 187 | 2 |
| Lower Yellowstone River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 5,423 | 53 | 4,965 | 49 | 458 | 4 |
| Stream | 34,678 | 354 | 29,856 | 300 | 4,822 | 54 |
| Total: | 40,101 | 407 | 34,821 | 349 | 5,280 | 58 |


|  | $\begin{array}{cl} \begin{array}{c} -- \text { Totals } \\ \text { Pressure } \end{array} \text { Trips } \end{array}$ |  | --- Resident --Pressure Trips |  | --- Non-Resident --Pressure Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 62,161 | 521 | 22,108 | 222 | 40,053 | 299 |
| Stream | 263,735 | 2,026 | 66,085 | 611 | 197,650 | 1,415 |
| Total: | 325,896 | 2,547 | 88,193 | 833 | 237,703 | 1,714 |
| Marias River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 29,390 | 285 | 26,812 | 269 | 2,577 | 16 |
| Stream | 6,121 | 62 | 5,743 | 60 | 378 | 2 |
| Total: | 35,510 | 347 | 32,555 | 329 | 2,955 | 18 |
| Middle Clark Fork River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 4,743 | 48 | 4,264 | 45 | 479 | 3 |
| Stream | 75,057 | 626 | 49,828 | 446 | 25,229 | 180 |
| Total: | 79,800 | 674 | 54,092 | 491 | 25,708 | 183 |
| Middle Milk River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 32,815 | 236 | 25,118 | 193 | 7,697 | 43 |
| Stream | 13,520 | 124 | 12,521 | 118 | 1,000 | 6 |
| Total: | 46,335 | 360 | 37,639 | 311 | 8,697 | 49 |
| Middle Yellowstone River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 9,790 | 105 | 8,949 | 99 | 841 | 6 |
| Stream | 30,189 | 294 | 28,266 | 281 | 1,923 | 13 |
| Total: | 39,980 | 399 | 37,215 | 380 | 2,764 | 19 |
| Missouri River - Dearborn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 2,489 | 26 | 2,489 | 26 |  |  |
| Stream | 170,421 | 1,364 | 91,581 | 769 | 78,840 | 595 |
| Total: | 172,910 | 1,390 | 94,070 | 795 | 78,840 | 595 |
| Missouri River - Judith |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 9,638 | 82 | 8,707 | 77 | 931 | 5 |
| Stream | 34,875 | 336 | 26,958 | 281 | 7,918 | 55 |
| Total: | 44,513 | 418 | 35,665 | 358 | 8,849 | 60 |
| Missouri River - Poplar |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 1,060 | 11 | 846 | 9 | 215 | 2 |
| Stream | 16,236 | 155 | 12,966 | 130 | 3,270 | 25 |
| Total: | 17,296 | 166 | 13,812 | 139 | 3,485 | 27 |
| Musselshell River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 12,221 | 106 | 10,496 | 97 | 1,725 | 9 |
| Stream | 5,033 | 45 | 3,359 | 32 | 1,674 | 13 |
| Total: | 17,254 | 151 | 13,855 | 129 | 3,399 | 22 |


|  | --- Totals ---Pressure Trips |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text {--- Resident ---- } \\ & \text { Pressure } \end{aligned}$ |  | --- Non-Resident --- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pressure | Trips |
| NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 6,722 | 77 |  |  | 4,937 | 56 | 1,785 | 21 |
| Stream | 1,546 | 10 | 1,133 | 7 | 413 | 3 |
| Total: | 8,268 | 87 | 6,070 | 63 | 2,198 | 24 |
| NA - St. Mary and Belly Rivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 368 | 3 | 209 | 2 | 160 | 1 |
| Total: | 368 | 3 | 209 | 2 | 160 | 1 |
| Powder River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 104 | 1 | 104 | 1 |  |  |
| Stream | 80 | 1 |  |  | 80 | 1 |
| Total: | 184 | 2 | 104 | 1 | 80 | 1 |
| Red Rock River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 24,802 | 185 | 16,866 | 128 | 7,935 | 57 |
| Stream | 8,656 | 79 | 1,703 | 18 | 6,953 | 61 |
| Total: | 33,458 | 264 | 18,569 | 146 | 14,888 | 118 |
| Ruby River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 8,298 | 43 | 7,058 | 35 | 1,240 | 8 |
| Stream | 13,293 | 93 | 6,006 | 40 | 7,288 | 53 |
| Total: | 21,591 | 136 | 13,064 | 75 | 8,528 | 61 |
| Smith River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 10,029 | 96 | 9,462 | 93 | 567 | 3 |
| Stream | 38,669 | 380 | 18,524 | 199 | 20,145 | 181 |
| Total: | 48,698 | 476 | 27,986 | 292 | 20,712 | 184 |
| South Fork Flathead River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 8,015 | 84 | 7,085 | 75 | 930 | 9 |
| Stream | 24,067 | 189 | 10,398 | 96 | 13,669 | 93 |
| Total: | 32,082 | 273 | 17,483 | 171 | 14,599 | 102 |
| Sun River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 16,768 | 149 | 13,575 | 126 | 3,193 | 23 |
| Stream | 6,022 | 54 | 4,960 | 46 | 1,062 | 8 |
| Total: | 22,790 | 203 | 18,535 | 172 | 4,255 | 31 |
| Swan River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 15,897 | 144 | 12,105 | 117 | 3,792 | 27 |
| Stream | 7,686 | 74 | 5,119 | 55 | 2,568 | 19 |
| Total: | 23,583 | 218 | 17,224 | 172 | 6,360 | 46 |



Table 5. Angling Pressure in angler days by Drainage by Lake or Stream for the 2019 survey license year (continued).


### 3.2 ANGLER PRESSURE ESTIMATES SUMMER (MAY-SEPTEMBER)

The "summer" season for angling in Montana is considered that period of the year from the first of May through the end of September. In 2019, 2,240,482 (71.3\%) days of angling pressure occurred during this period (Table 6). Residents accounted for 1,433,306 angler days (64\%) and nonresidents made up the remaining 807,176 angler days (56.3\%). Estimates for individual waters were sorted alphabetically and are presented in Appendix B of this report. Monthly estimates for all waters are also provided in Appendix D.

The distribution of angler pressure among Fish, Wildlife and Parks regions during summer (Chart 3, Table 6) is heavily skewed toward the western and central portions of the state. Region 3 received the most angling pressure with 609,440 angler days ( $27.2 \%$ ), followed closely by Region 4 with 419,337 angler days ( $18.7 \%$ ). Regions 2 , 5 and 1 were next in order and close to each other, with $400,558(17.9 \%), 235,267(10.5 \%)$, and 362,243 ( $16.2 \%$ ) angler days respectively. The easternmost regions of 6 and 7 were the lowest in pressure with 149,694 (6.7\%) and 52,452 (2.3\%) angler days respectively.

Residents (Chart 3) exerted the majority of angling pressure during the summer season in 2019 in all regions but Region 3. The percent of angling pressure by residents for each region was: Region 1 - $71.1 \%$, Region $2-62.1 \%$, Region $3-45.1 \%$, Region $4-76.8 \%$, Region $5-61.2 \%$, Region 6 - 87.2\%, and Region 7 - 88.7\%.

Angling on lotic waters (streams/rivers) accounted for $64.1 \%$ (1,436,206 angler days) of the statewide pressure during the summer season while lentic waters (lakes/ponds/reservoirs) accounted for $35.4 \%$ ( 793,047 angler days) of the pressure and undesignated waters accounted for $0.5 \%$ ( 11,229 angler days) of the pressure (Table 6).

Regions 1 and 6 were the two regions in which lake angling pressure exceeded stream pressure during the summer season ( $59.8 \%$ and $76.5 \%$, respectively, from lakes), although the lake pressure in Region 6 was due primarily to angling on one water (Fort Peck Reservoir) (Table 6, Chart 4). Region 4 was relatively balanced between stream and lake angling (49.8 and $50.1 \%$, respectively). Regions 2, 3, 5 and 7 were dominated by stream anglers, and Region 3 had the highest number of stream anglers for any region (505,535 angler days) and the second highest percentage ( $82.9 \%$ ) of anglers that were stream anglers (Region 5 had $81.5 \%$ but only 191,760 angler days for streams).

Angling pressure during the summer was summarized within the 40 major drainages (Figure 1, Table 7). The pressure by drainage ranged from a high of 226,179 angler days for the Madison River drainage to a low of 184 angler days for the Powder River drainage. The drainages with the highest percentage of resident anglers were the Tongue River, Marias River, and Boulder River at $97 \%$, while the Madison had the lowest percentage of resident anglers ( $27.8 \%$ ). Fort Peck Reservoir had the highest percentage of lake anglers ( $92 \%$ ) followed closely by the Upper Milk River Drainage ( $90.6 \%$ ) and the Marias ( $83.6 \%$ ), mainly due to the influence of Tiber Reservoir, while the Beaverhead had the lowest percentage of lake anglers (1.5\%) except for the Belt Creek Drainage where there was no lake fishing reported.

Chart 3. Statewide Angling Pressure Comparing Region and Residency - Summer Months 2019


Chart 4. Angling Pressure Comparing Region and Water Type Summer Months 2019


Table 6. Angling Pressure in angler days by Region by Lake or Stream for the summer season of May through September for the 2019 survey license year.


Table 6. Angling Pressure in angler days by Region by Lake or Stream for the summer season of May through September for the 2019 survey license year (continued).

| ----- Totals | ------ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pressure | Trips |


| ----- Resident | ------- |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pressure | Trips |

$\begin{array}{cc}\text {----- Non-Resident ------- } \\ \text { Pressure } & \text { Trips }\end{array}$

## Region: 7

| Undesig | 130 | 2 | 130 | 2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lake | 17,006 | 192 | 16,104 | 182 | 902 | 10 |
| Stream | 35,315 | 389 | 30,306 | 333 | 5,009 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total: | 52,452 | 583 | 46,540 | 517 | 5,911 |

## Statewide Summer Pressure Estimates for the 2019 Survey License Year

| Undesig | $\qquad$ Totals Pressure 11,229 | Trips $115$ | ----- Resident Pressure 8,834 | Trips $95$ | ----- Non-Resident Pressure 2,395 | Trips <br> 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake | 794,090 | 8,181 | 653,299 | 7,031 | 140,791 | 1,150 |
| Stream | 1,435,162 | 13,477 | 771,173 | 8,148 | 663,990 | 5,329 |
| Statewide Total | 2,240,482 | 21,773 | 1,433,306 | 15,274 | 807,176 | 6,499 |





| Table 7. Angling Pressure in angler days by Drainage by Lake or Stream for the 2019 Summer season (May - September) by Survey License Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teton River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 2,016 | 23 | 1,801 | 21 | 215 | 2 |
| Stream | 3,693 | 37 | 3,260 | 33 | 432 | 4 |
| Total: | 5,709 | 60 | 5,061 | 54 | 647 | 6 |
| Tongue River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 12,396 | 140 | 12,080 | 137 | 316 | 3 |
| Stream | 6,854 | 75 | 6,747 | 74 | 107 | 1 |
| Total: | 19,250 | 215 | 18,827 | 211 | 423 | 4 |
| Upper Clark Fork River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 4,745 | 53 | 4,508 | 51 | 237 | 2 |
| Stream | 20,792 | 191 | 13,166 | 132 | 7,626 | 59 |
| Total: | 25,537 | 244 | 17,674 | 183 | 7,863 | 61 |
| Upper Milk River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 11,749 | 131 | 11,336 | 128 | 413 | 3 |
| Stream | 1,218 | 14 | 1,100 | 13 | 118 | 1 |
| Total: | 12,967 | 145 | 12,436 | 141 | 531 | 4 |
| Upper Missouri River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 148,337 | 1,588 | 139,264 | 1,511 | 9,073 | 77 |
| Stream | 28,832 | 310 | 20,033 | 230 | 8,800 | 80 |
| Total: | 177,169 | 1,898 | 159,297 | 1,741 | 17,873 | 157 |
| Upper Yellowstone River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 36,789 | 360 | 27,421 | 287 | 9,368 | 73 |
| Stream | 181,165 | 1,660 | 107,670 | 1,089 | 73,495 | 571 |
| Total: | 217,954 | 2,020 | 135,091 | 1,376 | 82,863 | 644 |
| Statewide Summer Pressure Estimates for the 2019 Survey License Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ----- Totals <br> Pressure | Trips | $\begin{aligned} & \text {----- Resid } \\ & \text { Pressure } \end{aligned}$ | Trips | ----- Non-Resident Pressure | Trips |
| Undesig | 11,229 | 115 | 8,834 | 95 | 2,395 | 20 |
| Lake | 794,090 | 8,191 | 653,299 | 7,041 | 140,791 | 1,150 |
| Stream | 1,435,162 | 13,467 | 771,173 | 8,138 | 663,990 | 5,329 |
| Statewide Total | 2,240,482 | 21,773 | 1,433,306 | 15,274 | 807,176 | 6,499 |

### 3.3 ANGLER PRESSURE ESTIMATES WINTER (OCTOBER-APRIL)

The "winter" season for angling is from March through April and October through February of the following year. In 2019-2020, 902,624 angler days (28.7\%) of the annual fishing pressure occurred during this period (Table 8). Residents accounted for 494,432 angler days (54.8\%) and nonresidents made up the remaining 408,192 angler days ( $45.2 \%$ ). Estimates for individual waters for the winter season sorted alphabetically are presented in Appendix C of this report. Monthly estimates for the winter months for waters sorted alphabetically are provided in Appendix E.

The distribution of angler pressure distributed among Fish, Wildlife and Parks regions during winter (Chart 5, Table 8) is heavily skewed toward the western and central portions of the state. Region 3 received the most angling pressure with 253,929 angler days ( $28.1 \%$ ), followed closely by Region 4 with 171,615 angler days (19\%). Regions 2, 5 and 1 were next in order and close to each other, with 147,656 ( $16.4 \%$ ), 114,088 ( $12.6 \%$ ), and 112,711 ( $12.5 \%$ ) angler days respectively. The easternmost regions of 6 and 7 were the lowest in pressure with 85,196 ( $9.4 \%$ ) and 16,562 (1.8\%) angler days respectively.

Residents (Chart 5) exerted the majority of angling pressure during the winter season in 2019 in all regions but Regions 3, 5 and 6. The percent of angling pressure by residents for each region was: Region $1-75.2 \%$, Region $2-60.1 \%$, Region $3-43 \%$, Region $4-67.6 \%$, Region $5-44.2 \%$, Region $6-41.5 \%$, and Region $7-56 \%$.

Angling on lotic waters (streams/rivers) accounted for $65.4 \%$ ( 589,082 angler days) of the statewide pressure during the winter season while lentic waters (lakes/ponds/reservoirs) accounted for $34.6 \%$ ( 311,948 angler days) of the pressure and undesignated waters accounted for less than $0.2 \%$ ( 1,594 angler days) of the pressure (Table 8).

Regions 6,1 and 4 were the regions in which lake angling pressure exceeded stream pressure during the winter season ( $82.2 \%, 73.7 \%$ and $37 \%$, respectively, from lakes), although Region 1 had the highest number of lake anglers $(83,082)$ (Table 8 , Chart 6). Region 7 had a significant percent of lake anglers, but stream angling was dominant ( $39.2 \%$ and $60.8 \%$, respectively). Regions $2,3,5$ and 7 were dominated by stream anglers, and Region 3 had the highest number of stream anglers for any region ( 210,387 angler days) while Region 5 had the highest percentage ( $91.6 \%$ ) of anglers that were stream anglers.

Angling pressure during winter was summarized within the 40 major drainages (Figure 1, Table 9). The pressure by drainage ranged from a high of 99,718 angler days for the Madison River drainage to a low of 388 angler days for the Little Missouri River drainage. The drainages with the highest percentage of resident anglers were the Belt Creek, Little Missouri River, Lower Milk River, Lower Missouri River, South Fork Flathead River, South Fork Flathead River, Teton River and Upper Milk River all at 100\%, while the Bighorn River, Tongue River, Fort Peck Reservoir and Madison River drainages had the lowest percentage of resident anglers ( $25 \%, 22.4 \%, 23 \%$ and $25.3 \%$ ). The Little Missouri River and Lower Missouri River drainages had the highest percentage of lake anglers ( $100 \%$ ), but based on only two trips for each drainage; this was followed by the Red Rock River drainage with $94.9 \%$, mainly due to the influence of Clark Canyon Reservoir. The Belt Creek, Boulder River and Missouri River - Dearborn drainages had the lowest percentage of lake anglers at 0\% to $0.6 \%$.

# Chart 5. Statewide Angling Pressure Comparing Region and Residency - Winter Months 2019-20 



Chart 6. Statewide Angling Pressure Comparing
Region and Water Type - Winter Months 2018-20


Table 8. Angling Pressure in angler days by Region by Lake or Stream for the winter season of October through February of the 2019 Survey License Year.

|  | - Tot |  | ----- Reside | --- | - Non-Res | ---- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pressure | Trips | Pressure | Trips | Pressure | Trips |
| Region 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 83,082 | 408 | 66,714 | 320 | 16,368 | 88 |
| Stream | 29,629 | 162 | 18,055 | 100 | 11,574 | 62 |
| Total: | 112,711 | 570 | 84,769 | 420 | 27,942 | 150 |
| Region 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 36,412 | 201 | 24,087 | 138 | 12,326 | 63 |
| Stream | 111,243 | 671 | 64,654 | 392 | 46,589 | 279 |
| Total: | 147,656 | 872 | 88,741 | 530 | 58,915 | 342 |
| Region 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 117 | 1 |  |  | 117 | 1 |
| Lake | 43,425 | 212 | 26,941 | 122 | 16,484 | 90 |
| Stream | 210,387 | 1,177 | 82,241 | 451 | 128,146 | 726 |
| Total: | 253,929 | 1,390 | 109,182 | 573 | 144,747 | 817 |
| Region 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 63,466 | 370 | 51,789 | 307 | 11,677 | 63 |
| Stream | 108,149 | 626 | 64,234 | 361 | 43,915 | 265 |
| Total: | 171,615 | 996 | 116,023 | 668 | 55,592 | 328 |
| Region 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 610 | 3 |  |  | 610 | 3 |
| Lake | 8,998 | 61 | 7,060 | 51 | 1,939 | 10 |
| Stream | 104,480 | 623 | 43,396 | 262 | 61,084 | 361 |
| Total: | 114,088 | 687 | 50,456 | 313 | 63,633 | 374 |
| Region 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 70,069 | 341 | 24,719 | 113 | 45,351 | 228 |
| Stream | 15,127 | 94 | 10,634 | 71 | 4,493 | 23 |
| Total: | 85,196 | 435 | 35,353 | 184 | 49,844 | 251 |
| Region 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 6,495 | 34 | 1,131 | 6 | 5,364 | 28 |
| Stream | 10,068 | 67 | 8,147 | 57 | 1,921 | 10 |
| Total: | 16,562 | 101 | 9,278 | 63 | 7,285 | 38 |
| Statewide Pressure Estimates for Winter months by Survey License Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\qquad$ Total <br> Pressure | Trips | $\qquad$ Residen <br> Pressure | Trips |  | Trips |
| Undesig | 1,594 | 9 | 632 | 3 | 961 | 6 |
| Lake | 311,948 | 1,627 | 202,440 | 1,057 | 109,508 | 570 |
| Stream | 589,082 | 3,420 | 291,360 | 1,694 | 297,723 | 1,726 |
| Statewide Total | 902,624 | 5,056 | 494,432 | 2,754 | 408,192 | 2,302 |

Table 9. Angling Pressure in angler days by Drainage by Lake or Stream for the Winter season (March - April and October - February of the 2019 Survey License Year.


Table 9. Angling Pressure in angler days by Drainage by Lake or Stream for the Winter season (March - April and October - February of the 2019 Survey License Year (continued).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text {--- Totals --- } \\ & \text { Pressure } \quad \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text {--- Resident --- } \\ & \text { Pressure } \quad \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ |  | --- Non-Resident --- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Pressure Trips |  |
| Gallatin River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 6,636 | 33 | 3,913 | 19 | 2,723 | 14 |
| Stream | 56,802 | 292 | 31,109 | 145 | 25,692 | 147 |
| Total: | 63,437 | 325 | 35,022 | 164 | 28,415 | 161 |
| Jefferson River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 4,537 | 26 | 4,348 | 25 | 189 | 1 |
| Stream | 1,767 | 10 | 589 | 4 | 1,177 | 6 |
| Total: | 6,304 | 36 | 4,937 | 29 | 1,366 | 7 |
| Kootenai River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 17,083 | 87 | 14,624 | 73 | 2,458 | 14 |
| Stream | 7,405 | 37 | 3,282 | 16 | 4,123 | 21 |
| Total: | 24,487 | 124 | 17,906 | 89 | 6,581 | 35 |
| Little Missouri River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 388 | 2 |  |  | 388 | 2 |
| Total: | 388 | 2 |  |  | 388 | 2 |
| Lower Clark Fork River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 17,124 | 83 | 14,455 | 69 | 2,669 | 14 |
| Stream | 8,253 | 48 | 5,015 | 29 | 3,237 | 19 |
| Total: | 25,376 | 131 | 19,470 | 98 | 5,906 | 33 |
| Lower Milk River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 418 | 2 | 418 | 2 |  |  |
| Stream | 1,684 | 18 | 1,684 | 18 |  |  |
| Total: | 2,102 | 20 | 2,102 | 20 |  |  |
| Lower Missouri River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 402 | 2 | 402 | 2 |  |  |
| Total: | 402 | 2 | 402 | 2 |  |  |
| Lower Yellowstone River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 1,236 | 6 | 1,045 | 5 | 191 | 1 |
| Stream | 6,296 | 41 | 6,296 | 41 |  |  |
| Total: | 7,532 | 47 | 7,341 | 46 | 191 | 1 |
| Madison River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 9,735 | 52 | 2,413 | 14 | 7,322 | 38 |
| Stream | 89,982 | 513 | 22,837 | 140 | 67,145 | 373 |
| Total: | 99,718 | 565 | 25,250 | 154 | 74,467 | 411 |
| Marias River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 6,519 | 38 | 4,597 | 28 | 1,922 | 10 |
| Stream | 1,627 | 15 | 1,249 | 13 | 378 | 2 |
| Total: | 8,146 | 53 | 5,846 | 41 | 2,300 | 12 |
| Middle Clark Fork River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 1,418 | 15 | 1,418 | 15 |  |  |
| Stream | 19,817 | 116 | 14,656 | 89 | 5,161 | 27 |
| Total: | 21,235 | 131 | 16,074 | 104 | 5,161 | 27 |

Table 9. Angling Pressure in angler days by Drainage by Lake or Stream for the Winter season (March - April and October - February of the 2019 Survey License Year (continued).


Table 9. Angling Pressure in angler days by Drainage by Lake or Stream for the Winter season (March - April and October - February of the 2019 Survey License Year (continued).


### 3.4 PRIMARY SPECIES FISHED FOR

The mail questionnaire asked anglers to indicate the primary species they were fishing for. The answers to this question provide a good generalization regarding angler preferences and intentions but are probably inaccurate on some waters because anglers often will intentionally fish for more than one species but can only indicate one on the questionnaire. Another innacuracy occurs in situations where anglers are fishing for one of many species of co-existing trout in a lake or stream. The angler may typically expect to catch a rainbow, cutthroat, brown, or brook trout depending on the situation. It is most likely for this reason that a common response to the survey, particularly in the trout-dominant rivers of southwestern Montana, was "trout."

On a statewide basis, the most common response was "trout" (41.43\%), followed by Rainbow Trout ( $12.36 \%$ ), Walleye ( $10.92 \%$ ), Brown Trout ( $6.85 \%$ ), Cutthroat Trout (5.80\%), and Bass (2.35\%) (Table 10). Salmonids (trout, salmon, char, whitefish and grayling) collectively are indicated as the primary species by $72.11 \%$ of anglers.

Although salmonid fishing dominates on a statewide basis in terms of angler days, there are notable geographic differences (Table 11). Salmonid fishing comprises the majority of angling pressure in every drainage west of the Continental Divide except for the lower Clark Fork, which is heavily influenced by fishing on Noxon Rapids Reservoir for pike, walleye, bass and yellow perch. The salmonid-dominant drainages west of the divide have some notable differences. Lake trout are a very highly sought species in the Flathead River drainage ( $10.98 \%$ ), primarily due to Flathead Lake. Cutthroat trout constitute the majority of angling interest in the South Fork Flathead drainage ( $65.2 \%$ ), where FWP is actively working to eliminate the presence of any rainbow trout. Salmon (Kokanee plus salmon) are the dominant species of interest in the Kootenai River drainage, primarily due to fishing on Lake Koocanusa.

The Missouri headwater drainages in southwest Montana are dominated by trout fishing, primarily for rainbow and brown trout in the valley-bottom rivers. For these two species plus "trout", the percentage ranges from $78.57 \%$ in the Boulder River drainage to $85.14 \%$ in the Beaverhead River drainage. Cutthroat and brook trout, where indicated as the primary species, are numerically low (typically below $18 \%$ ), but are often the only game species in the mountain lakes and streams in these drainages.

The upper and middle Misouri River and the drainages in Region 4 represent a transition from salmonids to cool-water species. The Upper Missouri River drainage, which contains Canyon Ferry, Hauser and Holter reservoirs is dominated by "trout" and rainbow trout as a primary species $(47.22 \%)$, although walleye represent a significant component ( $42.35 \%$ ). Downstream in the Missouri-Dearborn drainage, "trout," rainbow trout and brown trout are the overwhelming favorite species and make up close to $91.45 \%$ of the effort. Further downstream in the Missouri River-Judith drainage, "trout"/rainbow trout still comprise the majority of species being fished for, but cool-water species such as walleye ( $25 \%$ ) and bass ( $2.88 \%$ ) are important to anglers. The Marias River drainage is the most notable tributary to the Missouri in Region 4, due to its high emphasis on walleye (68.3\%) and Yellow Perch (2.02\%).

The lower Missouri River mainstem drainages within Region 6 are dominated by walleye and northern pike fishing. Combined, these two species comprise $69.23 \%$ of angler preference in Fort Peck Reservoir, $77.71 \%$ in the Missouri River-Poplar, and $52 \%$ in the Lower Missouri drainage. Yellow Perch are sought in many of the drainages within Region 6, especially in the Lower

Missouri River drainage (12.0\%).
Species preferences within the Yellowstone River drainage show a longitudinal shift from salmonid fishing in the headwaters to cool-water species in eastern Montana. In the Upper Yellowstone drainage within Region 3, the combination of "trout," rainbow trout, brown trout and cutthroat trout comprise $91.35 \%$ of angler preferences. Further downstream in Region 5, but still within the Upper Yellowstone drainage, these same species make up over $80.76 \%$ of preferences. The Middle Yellowstone River drainage still has a substantial component of anglers seeking trout (roughly $32 \%$ for "trout," rainbow trout and brown trout), but cool-water species dominate, led by Bass (19.05\%). The Lower Yellowstone River drainage is dominated by fishing for coolwater species, starting with Paddlefish (17.69\%) followed by Walleye (13.51\%), Bass (7.62\%), Smallmouth Bass (3.93\%) and Sauger (3.19\%). Notable tributary drainages to the Yellowstone include the Bighorn River drainage ( $90.07 \%$ for "trout," rainbow trout and brown trout), and the Tongue River drainage which has high levels for walleye (35.96\%) and crappie (26.59\%) based primarily on fishing in Tongue River reservoir.

| Table 10. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for Statewide for the 2019 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Survey License |  |  |  |
| Trout | $41.43 \%$ | Arctic Grayling | $0.16 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $12.36 \%$ | Burbot | $0.16 \%$ |
| Walleye | $10.92 \%$ | Common Carp | $0.11 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $6.85 \%$ | Sauger | $0.09 \%$ |
| Cuthroat Trout | $5.80 \%$ | Bull Trout | $0.08 \%$ |
| Bass | $2.35 \%$ | Bluegill | $0.06 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $1.79 \%$ | Golden Trout | $0.04 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $1.36 \%$ | Rainbow Trout X Cutthroat | $0.04 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $1.32 \%$ | Goldeye | $0.03 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $1.19 \%$ | Lake Whitefish | $0.03 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $1.07 \%$ | Sturgeon | $0.02 \%$ |
| Salmon | $0.91 \%$ | Coho Salmon | $0.02 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.64 \%$ | Northern Pike X Muskie | $0.01 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $0.47 \%$ | Sunfish | $0.01 \%$ |
| Paddlefish | $0.44 \%$ | Chinook Salmon | $0.01 \%$ |
| Crappie | $0.32 \%$ | Channel Catfish | $0.01 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $0.26 \%$ |  |  |

## Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage For the 2019 Angler Survey License Year.

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species

## Region:

 1Flathead River ( $46.36 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $18.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cutthroat Trout | $15.42 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $10.95 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $9.68 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $7.32 \%$ |
| Bass | $7.21 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $5.32 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $3.37 \%$ |
| Salmon | $2.11 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $1.68 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $1.00 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $0.58 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $0.53 \%$ |
| Lake Whitefish | $0.47 \%$ |
| Crappie | $0.37 \%$ |
| Bull Trout | $0.26 \%$ |
| Coho Salmon | $0.26 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.16 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout X Cutthroat Trout Hybrid | $0.11 \%$ |
| Peamouth | $0.05 \%$ |

Kootenai River (18.98\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $24.16 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $17.10 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $15.30 \%$ |
| Salmon | $9.00 \%$ |
| Bass | $6.30 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $2.96 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $2.57 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $2.57 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $1.29 \%$ |
| Bluegill | $0.64 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $0.64 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $0.39 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $0.39 \%$ |
| Crappie | $0.26 \%$ |
| Sunfish | $0.13 \%$ |

Lower Clark Fork River ( $21.72 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Bass | $17.30 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Walleye | $16.40 \%$ |
| Trout | $15.39 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $7.87 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $5.96 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $4.61 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $4.27 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $2.81 \%$ |
| Salmon | $2.81 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $1.91 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $1.46 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $1.12 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $0.79 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.67 \%$ |

## Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage for the 2019 Angler Survey License Year.

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species
South Fork Flathead River ( $6.66 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Cutthroat Trout | $65.20 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Trout | $22.71 \%$ |
| Bull Trout | $5.13 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $3.66 \%$ |
| Salmon | $1.10 \%$ |

Swan River (5.32\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $30.28 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $7.34 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $7.34 \%$ |
| Nothenr Pike | $5.96 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $4.13 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $3.67 \%$ |
| Bass | $3.67 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $2.75 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $1.83 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $1.38 \%$ |
| Salmon | $0.92 \%$ |

## Region: <br> 2

Bitterroot River (28.37\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $54.05 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cutthroat Trout | $18.20 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $9.10 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $8.18 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.76 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.46 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.38 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $0.31 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout X Cutthroat Trout Hybrid | $0.23 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $0.15 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $0.08 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $0.08 \%$ |

Blackfoot River (24.56\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $41.17 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $15.90 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $11.04 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $4.59 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $4.33 \%$ |
| Bass | $3.45 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $3.18 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $2.30 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $1.94 \%$ |
| Salmon | $1.59 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $0.27 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.18 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout X Cutthroat Trout Hybrid | $0.09 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $0.09 \%$ |

Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage For the 2019 Angler Survey License Year.

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species
Clark Fork River - Flint / Rock ( $25.73 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $56.32 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $15.18 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $11.30 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $9.19 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $1.69 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $1.26 \%$ |
| Salmon | $0.93 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $0.34 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $0.17 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.08 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.08 \%$ |

Middle Clark Fork River (14.62\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $50.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $19.88 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $8.61 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $1.78 \%$ |
| Bass | $1.63 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $0.59 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.45 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.45 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout X Cutthroat Trout Hybrid | $0.30 \%$ |
| Sunfish | $0.15 \%$ |

Upper Clark Fork River ( $6.70 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $46.93 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brown Trout | $18.12 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $17.48 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $11.97 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $3.56 \%$ |
| Salmon | $0.32 \%$ |

## Region: <br> 3

Beaverhead River (3.58\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $45.78 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brown Trout | $32.53 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $6.83 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $3.61 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $0.40 \%$ |

Big Hole River ( $15.10 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $50.71 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brown Trout | $21.22 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $9.71 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $5.14 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $5.14 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $2.00 \%$ |
| Burbot | $0.76 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.29 \%$ |
| Walleye | $0.29 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $0.19 \%$ |
| Golden Trout | $0.10 \%$ |

## Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage For the 2019 Angler Survey License Year.

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species
Boulder River ( $0.80 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $44.64 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $30.36 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $17.86 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $3.57 \%$ |

Gallatin River (19.54\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $54.93 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $19.19 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $10.88 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $6.25 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $1.76 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.59 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $0.37 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $0.22 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.22 \%$ |
| Bluegill | $0.15 \%$ |
| Golden Trout | $0.07 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $0.07 \%$ |

Jefferson River ( $2.54 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $46.89 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brown Trout | $16.38 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $16.38 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $7.91 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $4.52 \%$ |
| Sucker | $0.56 \%$ |

Madison River ( $36.60 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $60.97 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $18.85 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $13.98 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $1.85 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.47 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.20 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.20 \%$ |
| Bluegill | $0.12 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $0.12 \%$ |
| Bull Trout | $0.04 \%$ |

Red Rock River (3.79\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $59.09 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cutthroat Trout | $11.36 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $9.47 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $6.06 \%$ |
| Burbot | $2.65 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $2.27 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $1.52 \%$ |
| Common Carp | $0.38 \%$ |

Ruby River (1.95\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $51.47 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $18.38 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $15.44 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $8.82 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $2.21 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $1.47 \%$ |

## Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage For the 2019 Angler Survey License Year.

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species
Upper Clark Fork River ( $0.01 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)
Rainbow Trout 100.00\%
Upper Missouri River (1.72\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $56.67 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Walleye | $17.50 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $4.17 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $4.17 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $3.33 \%$ |
| Common Carp | $2.50 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $2.50 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $0.83 \%$ |

Upper Yellowstone River (14.27\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $59.42 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $12.59 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $10.88 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $8.46 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $2.52 \%$ |
| Walleye | $0.81 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.60 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.10 \%$ |
| Bullhead | $0.10 \%$ |

## Region: 4

Belt Creek ( $1.95 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $54.81 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $12.50 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $7.69 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $5.77 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $2.88 \%$ |

Marias River ( $6.49 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Walleye | $68.30 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Trout | $7.78 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $6.34 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $2.02 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $2.02 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $0.86 \%$ |

Missouri River - Dearborn ( $26.00 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $59.93 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $25.76 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $5.76 \%$ |
| Walleye | $3.02 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $0.58 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $0.50 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.36 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $0.29 \%$ |
| Burbot | $0.22 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout X Cutthroat Trout Hybrid | $0.14 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.14 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $0.07 \%$ |

## Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage For the 2019 Angler Survey License Year.

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species
Missouri River - Judith (7.78\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $30.29 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Walleye | $25.00 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $6.49 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $5.05 \%$ |
| Bass | $2.88 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $1.92 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $1.44 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $1.44 \%$ |
| Paddlefish | $1.20 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $0.72 \%$ |
| Northern Pike X Muskie Hybrid | $0.48 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $0.24 \%$ |
| Freshwater Drum | $0.24 \%$ |
| Goldeye | $0.24 \%$ |
| Sturgeon | $0.24 \%$ |

Musselshell River (1.89\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $61.39 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $16.83 \%$ |
| Walleye | $12.87 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $2.97 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $1.98 \%$ |

NA - St. Mary and Belly Rivers ( $0.06 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Rainbow Trout | $66.67 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Trout | $33.33 \%$ |

Smith River ( $8.90 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $60.92 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brown Trout | $18.70 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $11.13 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $2.94 \%$ |
| Salmon | $1.68 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $0.84 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $0.63 \%$ |
| Burbot | $0.42 \%$ |

Sun River (3.80\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $53.69 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $19.70 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $5.91 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $2.46 \%$ |
| Northern Pike X Muskie Hybrid | $0.99 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $0.99 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $0.49 \%$ |
| White Sucker | $0.49 \%$ |
| Burbot | $0.49 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $0.49 \%$ |
| Bluegill | $0.49 \%$ |

Teton River ( $1.51 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $37.04 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $25.93 \%$ |
| Bass | $3.70 \%$ |
| Goldeye | $2.47 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $1.23 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $1.23 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $1.23 \%$ |

## Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage For the 2019 Angler Survey License Year.

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species
Upper Milk River ( $0.21 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $54.55 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Walleye | $36.36 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $9.09 \%$ |

Upper Missouri River (40.38\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Walleye | $42.33 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Trout | $35.25 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $11.95 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $3.66 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $0.88 \%$ |
| Common Carp | $0.69 \%$ |
| Burbot | $0.65 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $0.56 \%$ |
| Salmon | $0.46 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $0.32 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.32 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $0.28 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.05 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $0.05 \%$ |

## Region: 5

Bighorn River (37.29\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $57.22 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brown Trout | $17.33 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $15.52 \%$ |
| Walleye | $3.16 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $1.08 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.99 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $0.45 \%$ |
| Crappie | $0.45 \%$ |
| Common Carp | $0.27 \%$ |
| Sauger | $0.27 \%$ |
| Goldeye | $0.18 \%$ |
| Burbot | $0.09 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $0.09 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $0.09 \%$ |

Bitterroot River ( $0.07 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)
Cuthroat Trout $100.00 \%$
Middle Yellowstone River ( $13.43 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $30.83 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bass | $19.05 \%$ |
| Walleye | $1.75 \%$ |
| Common Carp | $1.50 \%$ |
| Sauger | $1.50 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $1.50 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $1.25 \%$ |
| Burbot | $1.00 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $0.75 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $0.50 \%$ |
| Goldeye | $0.25 \%$ |
| Sauger / Walleye | $0.25 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $0.25 \%$ |

## Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage For the 2019 Angler Survey License Year.

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species
Musselshell River (1.68\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Brook Trout | $20.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Trout | $14.00 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $4.00 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $4.00 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $4.00 \%$ |

Upper Yellowstone River (47.39\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $55.97 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $12.50 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $6.82 \%$ |
| Walleye | $6.25 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $5.47 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $4.33 \%$ |
| Golden Trout | $0.64 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $0.64 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.36 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $0.36 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.28 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $0.14 \%$ |
| Rainbow Smelt | $0.07 \%$ |

## Region: <br> 6

Fort Peck Reservoir (63.24\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Walleye | $60.23 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Nothern Pike | $8.76 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $7.60 \%$ |
| Salmon | $4.26 \%$ |
| Paddlefish | $3.10 \%$ |
| Bass | $1.32 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $0.62 \%$ |
| Trout | $0.62 \%$ |
| Chinook Salmon | $0.23 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $0.16 \%$ |

Lower Milk River (2.50\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Walleye | $29.41 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Channel Catfish | $3.92 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $3.92 \%$ |

Lower Missouri River (1.23\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Nothern Pike | $28.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Walleye | $24.00 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $12.00 \%$ |
| Trout | $4.00 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $4.00 \%$ |

Middle Milk River (17.65\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Walleye | $34.44 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Trout | $21.94 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $18.89 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $4.17 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $2.22 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $1.94 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $1.39 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.28 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.28 \%$ |



### 3.5 FISHING ACCESS SITE USE

Anglers were asked to indicate if they used an FWP Fishing Access Site (FAS) to access the water they fished. If they answered in the affirmative, they were then asked to provide the name of the FAS. The FAS icon (a fish facing a hook and line) accompanied this question to try to make it clear which sites were FWP sites. The location of a few FASs was increased on the maps for the 2019 survey relative to the 2017 survey, also to try to help the angler answer the question correctly.

A majority of anglers indicated that they had used an FAS ( $62.7 \%$ of residents and $65.7 \%$ of nonresidents). In terms of total reported angler days, $33.3 \%$ and $40.8 \%$ of resident and nonresident days respectively, indicated that an FWP FAS was used. These numbers were determined to be inaccurate however, because when many of the anglers identified the access site, it was in fact an access site provided by other public agencies. In order to quantify this error, the names of access sites which were provided were evaluated for correctness. Overall, $60.7 \%$ of resident angler days and $61.4 \%$ of nonresident angler days were attributed to an FWP site, while the remainder was attributed to sites owned by other agencies, access from bridge rights-of-way, or even private property. These "correction factors" were then used to estimate the actual percentage of angler days using FWP FASs, as follows:

Non-residents: $0.408 \times 0.614=.403$ or $40.3 \%$ of non-resident angler days occurring through the use of a Montana FWP FAS

Residents: $0.333 \times 0.607=0.381$ or $38.1 \%$ of resident angler days occurring through the use of a Montana FWP FAS.

The initial question in this survey was similar to one that was asked as part of the 2007 statewide mail survey, where the angler was asked if they had used a bridge, fishing access site, or other means to gain access to the fishery. Overall, $5.1 \%$ of the access was from bridges, and $55.5 \%$ of the access was from fishing access sites. Respondents in the 2007 survey were not asked to identify the name of the access site, so there were undoubtedly some respondents that gained access at sites not provided by FWP.

### 3.6 ANGLER ACCESS

On the questionnaire, anglers were asked if they had mostly fished from shore, boat, both shore and boat, or ice. When considered on a drainage basis (Table 12), the Fort Peck Reservoir had the lowest percentage ( $10.36 \%$ ) fishing from shore. The Upper Milk River had the highest percent fishing from boats ( $68.15 \%$ ) while Belt Creek, Boulder River and Little Missouri River drainages had no boat fishing. The Boulder River drainage had the most fishing from shore (100\%) and the least fishing from a boat ( $0 \%$ ). For those drainages where there was ice fishing, the drainages with the least were the South Fork Flathead River and the Upper Clark Fork River ( 0.73 and $0.32 \%$ ), while the Fort Peck Reservoir, Little Missouri River, Middle Milk River, Red Rock River, and Tongue River drainages all had greater than $10 \%$ of the anglers fishing through the ice.

Region 6 had the lowest percentage of anglers fishing from shore ( $22.94 \%$ ) while Regions 2 and 3 had the greatest percent ( $58.56 \%$ and $58.51 \%$ ) (Table 13). In terms of fishing from a boat, Regions 5, 2 and 3 were the lowest $(25.78 \%, 27.76 \%$ and $29.44 \%)$, while Region 6 was highest at $55.98 \%$. Region 5 had the lowest level of ice anglers ( $0.78 \%$ ), while Region 6 had the highest level ( $15.15 \%$ ). Residents were slightly more likely to fish from shore ( $47.11 \%$ ) than were non-residents ( $45.52 \%$ ) (Table 14). Residents and nonresidents were equally likely to fish from a boat ( $39.0 \%$ and $36.08 \%$ ), but nonresidents were slightly more likely to fish from both a boat and shore (11.55\%) than residents (9.37\%). Appendix G provides percentage of anglers accessing the water by each of these types for individual waterbodies.

## Table 12. Angler types of fishing by drainage (total days fished and percentages) for the 2019 License Year.

| Drainage Name | Shore | Boat | Shore/ Boat | Ice | Ice /Shore | Total trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beaverhead River | 141 (56.63\%) | 59 (23.69\%) | 40 (16.06\%) | 4 (1.61\%) |  | 249 |
| Belt Creek | 86 (82.69\%) |  | 1 (0.96\%) |  |  | 104 |
| Big Hole River | 449 (42.72\%) | 507 (48.24\%) | 86 (8.18\%) |  |  | 1051 |
| Bighorn River | 190 (17.15\%) | 445 (40.16\%) | 459 (41.43\%) |  |  | 1108 |
| Bitterroot River | 729 (55.61\%) | 366 (27.92\%) | 184 (14.04\%) |  |  | 1311 |
| Blackfoot River | 491 (43.37\%) | 477 (42.14\%) | 107 (9.45\%) | 52 (4.59\%) |  | 1132 |
| Boulder River | 56 (100\%) |  |  |  |  | 56 |
| Clark Fork River - Flint / Rock | 810 (68.24\%) | 182 (15.33\%) | 95 (8\%) | 85 (7.16\%) | 1 (0.08\%) | 1187 |
| Flathead River | 590 (31.05\%) | 1004 (52.84\%) | 128 (6.74\%) | 140 (7.37\%) |  | 1900 |
| Fort Peck Reservoir | 134 (10.36\%) | 858 (66.31\%) | 70 (5.41\%) | 218 (16.85\%) |  | 1294 |
| Gallatin River | 1224 (90\%) | 70 (5.15\%) | 19 (1.4\%) | 30 (2.21\%) |  | 1360 |
| Jefferson River | 77 (43.5\%) | 68 (38.42\%) | 15 (8.47\%) | 10 (5.65\%) |  | 177 |
| Kootenai River | 271 (34.83\%) | 377 (48.46\%) | 103 (13.24\%) | 21 (2.7\%) |  | 778 |
| Little Missouri River |  |  |  | 2 (100\%) |  | 2 |
| Lower Clark Fork River | 301 (33.82\%) | 450 (50.56\%) | 90 (10.11\%) | 48 (5.39\%) |  | 890 |
| Lower Milk River | 37 (72.55\%) | 5 (9.8\%) |  | 2 (3.92\%) |  | 51 |
| Lower Missouri River | 15 (60\%) | 8 (32\%) |  | 2 (8\%) |  | 25 |
| Lower Yellowstone River | 252 (61.92\%) | 114 (28.01\%) | 35 (8.6\%) | 6 (1.47\%) |  | 407 |
| Madison River | 1299 (51\%) | 851 (33.41\%) | 329 (12.92\%) | 43 (1.69\%) |  | 2547 |
| Marias River | 121 (34.87\%) | 190 (54.76\%) | 9 (2.59\%) | 14 (4.03\%) |  | 347 |
| Middle Clark Fork River | 427 (63.35\%) | 218 (32.34\%) | 20 (2.97\%) |  |  | 674 |
| Middle Milk River | 188 (52.22\%) | 73 (20.28\%) | 9 (2.5\%) | 73 (20.28\%) |  | 360 |
| Middle Yellowstone River | 287 (71.93\%) | 73 (18.3\%) | 30 (7.52\%) | 6 (1.5\%) |  | 399 |
| Missouri River - Dearborn | 456 (32.81\%) | 722 (51.94\%) | 178 (12.81\%) |  |  | 1390 |
| Missouri River - Judith | 236 (56.46\%) | 114 (27.27\%) | 44 (10.53\%) | 9 (2.15\%) |  | 418 |
| Missouri River - Poplar | 58 (34.94\%) | 80 (48.19\%) | 6 (3.61\%) | 12 (7.23\%) |  | 166 |

Table 12. Angler types of fishing by drainage (total days fished and percentages) for the 2019 License Year (continued).

| Drainage Name | Shore | Boat | Shore/ Boat | Ice | Ice /Shore | Total trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Musselshell River | 96 (63.58\%) | 36 (23.84\%) | 9 (5.96\%) | 8 (5.3\%) |  | 151 |
| Powder River | 1 (50\%) | 1 (50\%) |  |  |  | 2 |
| Red Rock River | 157 (59.47\%) | 58 (21.97\%) | 3 (1.14\%) | 37 (14.02\%) |  | 264 |
| Ruby River | 104 (76.47\%) | 13 (9.56\%) | 9 (6.62\%) | 10 (7.35\%) |  | 136 |
| Smith River | 114 (23.95\%) | 258 (54.2\%) | 97 (20.38\%) | 5 (1.05\%) |  | 476 |
| South Fork Flathead River | 176 (64.47\%) | 49 (17.95\%) | 46 (16.85\%) | 2 (0.73\%) |  | 273 |
| Sun River | 114 (56.16\%) | 57 (28.08\%) | 14 (6.9\%) | 15 (7.39\%) |  | 203 |
| Swan River | 102 (46.79\%) | 82 (37.61\%) | 18 (8.26\%) | 9 (4.13\%) |  | 218 |
| Teton River | 60 (74.07\%) | 11 (13.58\%) | 5 (6.17\%) |  | 5 (6.17\%) | 81 |
| Tongue River | 69 (25.84\%) | 139 (52.06\%) | 25 (9.36\%) | 34 (12.73\%) |  | 267 |
| Upper Clark Fork River | 246 (79.35\%) | 37 (11.94\%) | 24 (7.74\%) | 1 (0.32\%) |  | 310 |
| Upper Milk River | 43 (27.39\%) | 107 (68.15\%) | 3 (1.91\%) | 3 (1.91\%) |  | 157 |
| Upper Missouri River | 705 (30.93\%) | 1320 (57.92\%) | 133 (5.84\%) | 101 (4.43\%) | 1 (0.04\%) | 2279 |
| Upper Yellowstone River | 1480 (61.64\%) | 630 (26.24\%) | 240 (10\%) | 19 (0.79\%) |  | 2401 |

Table 13. Angler types of fishing by Region (days fished and percentages) for the 2019 License Year.

| Region (Year) | Shore | Boat | Shore/ Boat | Ice | Ice/Shore | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1440 (35.48\%) | 1962 (48.34\%) | 385 (9.49\%) | 220 (5.42\%) |  | 4059 |
| 2 | 2700 (58.56\%) | 1280 (27.76\%) | 430 (9.33\%) | 138 (2.99\%) | 1 (0.02\%) | 4611 |
| 3 | 4069 (58.51\%) | 2047 (29.44\%) | 620 (8.92\%) | 139 (2\%) |  | 6954 |
| 4 | 1877 (35.5\%) | 2669 (50.47\%) | 482 (9.11\%) | 150 (2.84\%) | 6 (0.11\%) | 5288 |
| 5 | 1516 (51.1\%) | 765 (25.78\%) | 620 (20.9\%) | 23 (0.78\%) |  | 2967 |
| 6 | 468 (22.94\%) | 1142 (55.98\%) | 86 (4.22\%) | 309 (15.15\%) |  | 2040 |
| 7 | 322 (47.21\%) | 254 (37.24\%) | 60 (8.8\%) | 42 (6.16\%) |  | 682 |

Table 14. Angler types of fishing by residency within the state (percent is based on the total number of days which includes null responses) for the 2019 License Year.

| Residency | Shore | Boat | Shore/Boat | Ice | Ice /Shore | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| R | $8413(47.11 \%)$ | $6965(39 \%)$ | $1673(9.37 \%)$ | $531(2.97 \%)$ | $7(0.04 \%)$ | 17859 |
| N | $3979(45.52 \%)$ | $3154(36.08 \%)$ | $1010(11.55 \%)$ | $490(5.61 \%)$ |  | 8742 |

### 3.7 WATERCRAFT INSPECTION STATIONS

All anglers receiving the survey were asked if they knew that motorists hauling or carrying any watercraft (boat, kayak, raft, drift boat, jet ski, etc.) must stop at roadside Watercraft Inspection Stations. The yes or no responses were tallied (Table 15) by residency for respondents who did not fish, those who did fish as well as the combined total of all returned surveys. Most anglers ( $60 \%-79 \%$ ) responded that they knew that they had to stop at a Watercraft Inspection Station. Nearly $20 \%$ of all anglers surveyed did not answer the question (\% DNR).

Table 15. Angler responses to Watercraft Inspection Station question on the 2019 Statewide Angler Survey.
Did not fish

| Residency | Total | no | null | yes | \% NO | \% DNR | \% YES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NonResident | 2757 | 467 | 633 | 1657 | $16.9 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $60.1 \%$ |
| Resident | 13256 | 439 | 2520 | 10297 | $3.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $77.7 \%$ |

Fished

| residency | Total | No | null | Yes | \% NO | \% DNR | \% YES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NonResident | 2759 | 380 | 577 | 1802 | $13.8 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $65.3 \%$ |
| Resident | 4473 | 103 | 856 | 3514 | $2.3 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ |

Combined Response

| Residence | Total | No | null | Yes | \% NO | \% DNR | \% YES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NonResident | 5516 | 847 | 1210 | 3459 | $15.4 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ |
| Resident | 17729 | 542 | 3376 | 13811 | $3.1 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ |
| All | 23245 | 1389 | 4586 | 17270 | $6.0 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | $74.3 \%$ |

### 4.0 DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### 4.1 SCOPE OF ANGLING PRESSURE

The statewide angling pressure survey was conducted from March 2019 through February 2020. Estimates of pressure by residents and nonresidents were for licensed anglers only. This would encompass anglers 12 years of age and older. Spence (1971) found that the unlicensed angler (ages 2-14) comprised 9\% of the pressure on Rock Creek near Missoula. Peterson (1970) found that the unlicensed angler accounted for $21 \%$ and $19 \%$ of the total number of anglers on Big Spring Creek near Lewistown during 1968 and 1969 respectively. On the Bighorn River near Hardin, Stevenson (1975) found that the unlicensed angler accounted for $14.2 \%$ and $15.8 \%$ of the total number of anglers during 1972 and 1973 respectively. Fredenberg (1984) found that $10 \%$ of the anglers on Bighorn Lake and $13 \%$ of the anglers on the Yellowtail Afterbay were unlicensed. It appears that the unlicensed angler makes up between $9 \%$ and $21 \%$ of the fishing pressure depending on the type of water being fished.

Some angling pressure was obtained on Indian reservations and National Parks within Montana. This pressure was incidental to other fishing trips and only included those anglers that had purchased a Montana fishing license. Since national parks and reservations require different licensing, a complete pressure estimate of waters within those regions was not obtained.

### 4.2 ACCURACY

### 4.2.1 SAMPLING

Samples were drawn and questionnaires sent to the selected anglers as soon as possible. This was usually 1-2 days after the wave being sampled had ended (see discussion under Methods for details). The use of ALS allows for samples to be drawn right after the month has ended which minimizes inaccurate responses resulting from memory loss over time.

### 4.3 RETURN RATES

Return rates (\# of respondents / [\# of surveys sent - nondeliverables] * 100) were calculated for every wave by residency (Table 2). The weighted average total return rates for residents and nonresidents were $36.8 \%$ and $33.5 \%$ respectively. These are the lowest rates since the surveys first began in 1983, and reflect a consistent downward trend over that time period (Chart 7). Low return rates do reduce the number of trips reported for individual waterbodies, and increase the associated error surrounding the pressure estimate. Even more problematic is the possibility that the lower return rates are causing or a result of a non-response bias, in which license holders with certain common traits are disproportionately choosing to not participate in the survey. If these non-respondents are more or less likely to be fishing than are the respondents, then it may be affecting the accuracy of the pressure estimates.

Due to the trend of lower response rates, a phone survey of a sub-set of non-respondents from the upcoming 2021/22 mail survey should be conducted to determine if a non-response bias is occurring that may affect pressure estimates. Specifically, license holders will be asked if they fished during the month and then to identify waters fished and number of days fishing on each water.

## Chart 7. Return rate of mail questionaires for residents and non-residents from 1989 to 2019.



### 4.4 NUMBER OF LICENSED ANGLERS VS PRESSURE

The number of resident anglers showed steady increases from 1967 to 1985 (Chart 8, Table 14). Since 1985 when there were 236,455 licensed anglers, the number has remained within $10 \%$, reaching a low of 216,412 in 1989 and a high of 267,846 in 2015 There has been a slow but steady decline in resident angler numbers since 2015 (2019 data is not yet available). The notable decline from $2010(238,942)$ to $2011(228,589)$ may be theorized to be due to stormy weather in the early summer of 2011 that kept many people indoors. Nonresident licensed angler numbers showed strong growth between 1965 and peak numbers in 2002 (Chart 9), increasing from 51,798 to 220,946 during the period. Nonresident license sales then dropped markedly from 2002 through 2011, when 126,617 anglers purchased licenses, but has rebounded and increased to a high of 192,364 in 2016 before declining slightly in 2017 and 2018 (2019 data is not yet available).

Comparing statewide angling use from the mail survey versus number of anglers shows general agreement between the two variables, at least in terms of long-term trends. The relationship between angler use and number of anglers has remained remarkably consistent for resident anglers (Chart 8). The trend for non-resident anglers is much different. The number of licensed anglers peaked in 2002 and then declined to a 21 -year low in 2011. Since then number of licensed anglers increased almost every year to a high of 267,846 in 2015 after which there has been a slow but steady decline through 2018 (2019 data is not yet available). The number of licensed non-resident anglers is only 13\% higher in 2018 than 2007. Non-resident angling pressure however, has increased by almost $94 \%$ since 2007 (Chart 9) and indicates a trend toward non-residents spending more days fishing in Montana.

| Table 16. - Number of licensed anglers from 1982 through 2018 by residency. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Resident Anglers | Nonresident Anglers |
| 1982 | 216,689 | 119,293 |
| 1983 | 217,483 | 116,875 |
| 1984 | 232,485 | 102,843 |
| 1985 | 236,455 | 106,304 |
| 1986 | 235,403 | 100,456 |
| 1987 | 233,111 | 103,936 |
| 1988 | 219,299 | 108,471 |
| 1989 | 216,412 | 114,254 |
| 1990 | 217,370 | 119,611 |
| 1991 | 221,723 | 138,243 |
| 1992 | 222,186 | 134,212 |
| 1993 | 226,992 | 151,192 |
| 1994 | 233,630 | 164,841 |
| 1995 | 227,849 | 153,887 |
| 1996 | 227,282 | 150,881 |
| 1997 | 222,442 | 151,244 |
| 1998 | 222,329 | 162,067 |
| 1999 | 228,419 | 162,572 |
| 2000 | 219,282 | 152,158 |
| 2001 | 216,858 | 164,470 |
| 2002 | 222,510 | 220,946 |
| 2003 | 227,562 | 200,647 |
| 2004 | 223,560 | 200,562 |
| 2005 | 233,295 | 185,689 |
| 2006 | 224,526 | 159,846 |
| 2007 | 228,415 | 163,088 |
| 2008 | 240,030 | 155,858 |
| 2009 | 248,945 | 159,032 |
| 2010 | 238,942 | 154,184 |
| 2011 | 228,589 | 126,617 |
| 2012 | 241,519 | 157,763 |
| 2013 | 254,473 | 170,415 |
| 2014 | 258,846 | 178,290 |
| 2015 | 267,846 | 189,916 |
| 2016 | 254,016 | 192,364 |
| 2017 | 244,012 | 184,495 |
| 2018 | 233,597 | 185,045 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Chart 8. Angling pressure versus number of anglers for residents from 1965 to 2019.


Chart 9. Angling pressure versus number of anglers for non-residents from 1965 to 2019.
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### 6.0 EXAMPLES OF QUESTIONNAIRES

The August 2019 questionnaire is an example of an initial mail form, while the February 2020 questionnaire is an example of a re-mail form. The map page is printed on the back side of each survey.
(6) MONTANA FISH. WILDLIFE \& PARKS

Dear anclalı，
Angler Survey－FEBRUARY 2020

|  | Dear dinglı，Angler Survey－FEBR | Y 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eilising | We recently malled you a request for your FEbruary fishing | EVEN IF YOU DID NOT FISH OR CATCH ANY FISH， |
|  | in Mos | PLEASE COMPLETE THIS QUESTIOMNAIRE |
|  | P | tot－ |
|  |  |  | This survey requests anly：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - ALL woters fished by you } \\
& \text { - Fisher only in the mant anf FEBRUARY }
\end{aligned}
$$

If you ïshed one of the rivers on provided moos isee both fort and bark of this bopel，

List each incivicual waterbody（and section number if applicablef on a separite lime below．

| Name：s；of Lake ar Stream Fished during FEERUARY <br>  LAKE，RESERWOIR．RNER CREEK $\operatorname{adt} d \epsilon$ <br>  |  | Nearcst Toun or Lindmask <br> Ins rifmalen haps 70 <br>  wistoted lifies． | Number of Days： Fished durlan FEbruary CNLY | Huw urany of the Days Fished utilizen in FWP Fishing Accenss Sitr IFAS｜？For FAS detallis Ykh the web at： <br>  |  |  | If You Used an FWp Fishing Access Sitr （FASI，Frovide the Hamesio or Hyou floated， the put in and lake oul． | What ONE Skecies You Primarly Flah For？ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sec． 1 |  |  | ER |  | BIGH <br> Sec <br> Sec． <br> Sec． <br> 3 相 <br> 比等 |  |  |  |  |



| STREAM NAME |  | WATER CODE | DOWNSTREAM POINT | UPSTREAM POINT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BEAVER CREEK | SEC 01 | 15-0280 | MOUTH | BEAVER CREEK RES. |
|  | SEC 02 | 15-0320 | BEAVER CREEK RES | BEAR PAW LAKE |
|  | SEC 03 | 15-0340 | BEAR PAW LAKE | ROCKY BOY INDIAN R |
|  | SEC 04 | 15-0360 | ROCKY BOY INDIAN RES | HEADWATERS |
| BIG HOLE R. | SEC 01 | 02-0425 | MOUTH | DIVIDE CREEK |
|  | SEC 02 | 02-0450 | DIVIDE CREEK | PINTLAR CREEK |
|  | SEC 03 | 02-0475 | PINTLAR CREEK | HEADWATERS |
| BIG SPRING CR. | SEC 01 | 16-0301 | JUDITH RIVER (MOUTH) | COTTONWOOD CREEK |
|  | SEC 02 | 16-0310 | COTTONWOOD CREEK | HEADWATERS |
| BIGHORN RIVER | SEC 01 | 22-0490 | MOUTH | LITTLE BIGHORN RIVER |
|  | SEC 02 | 2 22-0495 | L.BIGHORN R | BIG HORN FAS (ACCESS CR) |
|  | SEC 03 | 22-0496 | BIG HORN FAS (ACCESS CR) | AFTERBAY |
| BITTERROOT R. | SEC 01 | 1 03-0475 | MOUTH | BIG CREEK |
|  | SEC 02 | 2 03-0500 | BIG CREEK | HEADWATERS |
| BLACKFOOT R. | SEC 01 | 04-0600 | MOUTH | CLEARWATER RIVER |
|  | SEC 02 | 04-0630 | CLEARWATER RIVER | N FK BLACKFOOT RIVER |
|  | SEC 03 | 04-0645 | N FK BLACKFOOT RIVER | ARRASTRA CREEK |
|  | SEC 04 | 04-0660 | ARRASTRA CREEK | HEADWATERS |
| BOULDER RIVER | SEC 01 | 1 22-0742 | MOUTH | BOULDER FALLS (NAT BRDG) |
|  | SEC 02 | 22-0756 | BOULDER FALLS (NAT BRDG) | BRIDGE CREEK |
|  | SEC 03 | 22-0770 | BRIDGE CREEK | HEADWATERS |
| CLARK FORK R. | SEC 01 | 05-1440 | THOMPSON RIVER | FLATHEAD RIVER |
|  | SEC 02 | 05-1456 | FLATHEAD RIVER | BITTERROOT RIVER |
|  | SEC 03 | 06-1118 | BITTERROOT RIVER | ROCK CREEK |
|  | SEC 04 | 06-1121 | ROCK CREEK | LITTLE BLACKFOOT R |
|  | SEC 05 | 06-1140 | LITTLE BLACKFOOT R | HEADWATERS |
| CLARKS FK YELLOWSTONE RIVER |  |  |  |  |
|  | SEC 01 | 22-1162 | MOUTH | BRIDGER |
|  | SEC 02 | 22-1176 | BRIDGER | WYOMING BORDER |
|  | SEC 03 | 22-1190 | WYOMING BORDER | HEADWATERS |
| CROW CREEK | SEC 01 | 07-1000 | MOUTH | LOWER CROW RESERVOIR |
|  | SEC 02 | 07-1020 | LOWER CROW RESERVOIR | HEADWATERS |
| CUT BANK CREEK | K SEC 01 | 01 14-1080 | MOUTH | CUT BANK |
|  | SEC 02 | 12-1120 | CUT BANK | GLACIER PARK |
| FLATHEAD RIVER | ER SEC 01 | 01 07-1540 | MOUTH | Flathead lake |
|  | SEC 02 | 02 07-1560 | FLATHEAD LAKE | S FK FLATHEAD R |
| GALLATIN RIVER | R SEC 01 | 1 09-2090 | MOUTH | E GALLATIN RIVER |
|  | SEC 02 | -09-6878 | E GALLATIN RIVER | SPANISH CREEK |
|  | SEC 03 | 09-6916 | SPANISH CREEK | HEADWATERS |


| STREAM NAME | WATER CODE | DOWNSTREAM POINT | UPSTREAM POINT |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| HYALITE CREEK SEC 01 | $09-2546$ | MOUTH | HYALITE RESERVOIR |
|  | SEC 02 | $09-6802$ | HYALITE RESERVOIR |

STREAM NAME WATER CODE DOWNSTREAM POINT UPSTREAM POINT

| RED ROCK RIVER |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SEC 01 | 01-6140 | MOUTH | LIMA DAM |
|  | SEC 02 | 01-6160 | LIMA RESERVOIR | UPPER RED ROCK LK |
| ROCK CREEK | SEC 01 | 06-5263 | MOUTH | HOGBACK CREEK |
|  | SEC 02 | 06-5282 | HOGBACK CREEK | HEADWATERS |
| ROCK CREEK | SEC 01 | 22-4928 | MOUTH | W FK (CHROME CAMP) |
|  | SEC 02 | 22-4956 | W FK (CHROME CAMP) | HEADWATERS |
| RUBY RIVER | SEC 01 | 01-6360 | MOUTH | RUBY RESERVOIR |
|  | SEC 02 | 01-6380 | RUBY RESERVOIR | HEADWATERS |
| SHIELDS RIVER |  |  |  |  |
|  | SEC 01 | 22-5334 | MOUTH | CLYDE PARK |
|  | SEC 02 | 22-5348 | CLYDE PARK | WILSALL |
|  | SEC 03 | 22-5362 | WILSALL | HEADWATERS |
| SMITH RIVER | SEC 01 | 17-6816 | MOUTH | HOUND CREEK |
|  | SEC 02 | 17-6832 | HOUND CREEK | CAMP BAKER |
|  | SEC 03 | 17-6833 | CAMP BAKER | HEADWATERS |
| STILLWATER R | R SEC 01 | 22-6104 | MOUTH | WEST FORK (NYE) |
|  | SEC 02 | 22-6118 | WEST FORK (NYE) | HEADWATERS |
| SUN RIVER | SEC 01 | 20-6050 | MOUTH | MUDDY CREEK |
|  | SEC 02 | 20-6100 | MUDDY CREEK | GIBSON DAM |
| SWAN RIVER | SEC 01 | 07-4560 | MOUTH | SWAN LAKE |
|  | SEC 02 | 07-4580 | SWAN LAKE | HEADWATERS |
| TETON RIVER | SEC 01 | 14-6000 | MOUTH | CHOTEAU |
|  | SEC 02 | 14-6040 | CHOTEAU | HEADWATERS |
| THOMPSON RIVER |  |  |  |  |
|  | SEC 01 | 05-7248 | MOUTH | BEND RANGER STATION |
|  | SEC 02 | 05-7264 | BEND RANGER STATION | HEADWATERS |
| TONGUE RIVER |  |  |  |  |
|  | SEC 01 | 21-1150 | MOUTH | BEAVER CREEK |
|  | SEC 02 | 21-1200 | BEAVER CREEK | TONGUE RIVER DAM |
|  | SEC 03 | 21-1250 | TONGUE RIVER RES | WYOMING BORDER |
| W FK STILLWATER RIVER |  |  |  |  |
|  | SEC 01 | 22-6664 | MOUTH | IRON CREEK |
|  | SEC 02 | 22-6678 | IRON CREEK | HEADWATERS |
| YAAK RIVER | SEC 01 | 11-7740 | MOUTH | FALLS |
|  | SEC 02 | 11-7760 | FALLS | HEADWATERS |
| YELLOWSTONE RIVER |  |  |  |  |
|  | SEC 01 | 21-1350 | N DAKOTA BORDER | POWDER RIVER |
|  | SEC 02 | 21-1400 | POWDER RIVER | BIGHORN RIVER |
|  | SEC 03 | 22-7001 | BIGHORN RIVER | HUNTLEY DIVERSION |

STREAM NAME WATER CODE DOWNSTREAM POINT UPSTREAM POINT
YELLOWSTONE RIVER (con't)

| SEC 04 | $22-7015$ | HUNTLEY DIVERSION | CLARKS FORK RIVER |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| SEC 05 | $22-7028$ | CLARKS FORK RIVER | STILLWATER RIVER |
| SEC 06A | $22-7043$ | STILLWATER RIVER | REED POINT BRIDGE |
| SEC 06B | $22-7044$ | REED POINT BRIDGE | BOULDER RIVER |
| SEC 07A | $22-7057$ | BOULDER RIVER | SPRINGDALE |
| SEC 07B | $22-7058$ | SPRINGDALE | SHIELDS RIVER |
| SEC 08 | $22-7071$ | SHIELDS RIVER | PINE CREEK |
| SEC 09A | $22-7072$ | PINE CREEK | EMIGRANT BRIDGE |
| SEC 09B | $22-7073$ | EMIGRANT BRIDGE | TOM MINER CREEK |
| SEC 10 | $22-7084$ | TOM MINER CREEK | GARDINER |

