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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks has conducted statewide angling mail surveys for more than 50 years. Bishop $(1959,1960,1961)$ conducted the first recorded mail survey of fishing pressure on a statewide basis for Montana from 1958-1960. In 1968 Holton (1970) again initiated the statewide angling pressure mail survey. Holton (1971) conducted another statewide survey for the 1969 license year. No results were reported because it was felt they were too high due to sampling problems. In 1975, Gaffney (unpublished data) conducted a statewide survey of angling pressure by mail. An attempt was made to continue that statewide survey in 1976 using the 1975 mailing lists. This did not provide adequate samples for nonresidents, so only resident pressure was obtained. The surveys were started again in 1982 and run for four consecutive years (McFarland, 1989). In 1986 the surveys were again canceled for lack of funding. In March 1989, the statewide angling use mail survey was again reinitiated, and has been conducted on a biennial basis since that time.

The number of questionnaires in the survey has varied over the years. Between 1989 and 2011, the number has been in the range of 89,000-97,000 for all but two surveys (68,505 in 2001 and 80,125 in 2005). In 2013, the effort was scaled back to 67,603 questionnaires, a drop of $25 \%$ from 2011. The 2015 survey effort was 67,600 questionaires, the same as 2013. In 2017 the survey was again scaled back due to budget cuts. A total of 40,300 surveys were mailed out in 2017, a $40 \%$ cut over 2015. The consequence of this change is that it increases error measurements for waters, and decreases the number of waters for which a pressure estimate can be calculated.

In the current survey there have been changes made to the maps that accompany the questionnaire, and this is worthy of mention because it has the potential to influence the angler response, and ultimately angler pressure estimates. The Missouri River, the Yellowstone River, and the Clark Fork River maps underwent changes in order to present a single map for each of these waters. In order to accomplish this, several smaller maps (Big Hole River, Bighorn River, Blackfoot River, Smith River, Stillwater River and Beaver Creek) were moved to the front page of the survey letter along with a note to let anglers know that there were more maps on the back side. Big Spring Creek was added while The Bitterroot River and the Gallatin River were not included in 2017. When there is no map the nearest town or landmark is used to determine which section of the river was fished when the respondent does not include the section.

1) Missouri River: In 2017, the two Missouri River maps from 2015 were combined and placed on the back page of the survey. This was done to avoid the confusion relative to the upstream boundary of section 8, because in 2015 section 8 from the Cascade Bridge to the North Dakota border was on the back of the survey and the rest of the river was on the front side. The goal in 2017 was to allow respondents to see the entire length of the Missouri River (along with additional fishing access
sites that are frequently used by anglers) so that they might more confidently select the sections fished. Many anglers are confused by the sections and the reservoirs - they enter a reservoir name as well as a section which might skew some of the data towards the reservoirs.
2) Yellowstone River: On the 2015 back map page, the Yellowstone River map included section 2 and section 1 to the North Dakota border. All other sections were on the front of the survey. The two Yellowstone Rivers maps from 2015 were combined and placed on the back page of the 2017 survey so that respondents could see the entire length of the river in one place. The 2017 map includes the major towns as well as many of the FWP Fishing Access Sites (FAS) that anglers might use. The hope was that it would be easier for anglers to identify a landmark within the section they were fishing.
3) Clark Fork River: Several of the dams and reservoirs in the lower Clark Fork River were shown on the 2015 map. In order to fit this in the space available, the river was displayed in 2 maps. In years prior to 2015, the map ended the Clark Fork River at Thompson Falls. Because the reservoirs were labeled on the 2015 map, there might have been more activity identified with these reservoirs than in the past. The 2015 Lower Clark Fork map was expanded and combined with the Upper map for the 2017 survey. Numerous fishing access sites (FAS) were also labeled to provide landmarks that anglers might easily recognize.

Contents of the questionnaire changed slightly in 2017. Questions regarding Fishing Access Site (FAS) use was included again in this survey and the type of fishing (shore, boat, both or ice) question from the 2013 (and all prior) survey was once again included. The primary purpose of the FAS question was to quantify the percentage of anglers who use FASs to access waterbodies.

### 2.0 METHODS

### 2.1 MAIL SURVEYS

The 2017 statewide angling mail pressure survey was conducted during the license year beginning March 2017 and ending February 2018. The methods used by R. McFarland for surveys conducted from 1989 through 2009 provided the framework for the 2017 survey.

Samples were drawn from the Department's Automated Licensing System (ALS) on the first day of each month. All anglers who purchased a two-day or ten-day license valid for use in the previous month as well as all anglers who purchased or held a season fishing license valid for use in the previous month were included in the eligible angler population. A computer program was written in ORACLE to create five populations of anglers from which to draw samples. A resident season population, a resident 2-day population, a nonresident season population, a nonresident 2-day population and a nonresident 10-day population were created each month. The licenses that comprise these five populations of anglers are:

1. NonResident 2-day license: enables the nonresident angler to fish for two consecutive days of their choice. Anglers may purchase as many two-day licenses as they want.
2. NonResident 10-day license: enables the nonresident angler to fish for 10 consecutive days of fishing. Anglers may purchase as many ten-day licenses as they want.
3. NonResident Season license includes:

- combo license - combines a nonresident conservation license and seasonal fishing license.
- seasonal license
- deer combo license - includes a deer tag and a fishing license.
- big game combo - includes a conservation license, an elk tag, a deer "A" tag, a black bear tag, a fishing license and an upland game bird license.

4. Resident 2-day license: valid for 2 consecutive days at a reduced cost.
5. Resident Season license includes:

- season license
- combo license - combines a season fishing license and a conservation license
- sportsman's license - provides a deer "A" tag, elk tag, optional bear tag, conservation license, a game bird stamp and a fishing license
- "senior" license - 62 years of age and older
- "youth" license - ages 12 to 17
- disabled license - certified as permanently and substantially disabled

An ACCESS table was used to pull a random sample from each population. Sampling was done on a monthly-stratified basis (Table 1). The number pulled from each population was proportionally derived from the angling pressure each population exerted based on previous surveys. A 25/75 ratio to sample non-resident and resident anglers was used in the current survey--the same ratio that has been used since 2007 as reported by McFarland (2009) who found that residents provide approximately $75 \%$ of angling pressure. This will be re-evaluated for the 2019-2020 survey, because the nonresident portion of pressure has been rising since this ratio was established and is now at $38 \%$ for this current survey.

The individual samples from each population (by month) were assigned to a wave (Table 1) and given sequential serial numbers. The database of names and addresses were run through a software program (a service provided by Print \& Mail Service in Helena) to validate addresses and assign correct 4-digit zip code extensions. Only addresses that passed the mail validation were included in the final sample. This helped reduce the number of non-deliverable surveys. An ACCESS report was written to export the monthly sample data into a spreadsheet for mail merging with the survey WORD document. The merged file contained a single page for each angler included in the sample. This merged file and a separate map file were sent to Print \& Mail Services (State of Montana) in Helena, MT where the survey was printed (two-sided), stuffed into envelopes and mailed via first class mail.

Table 1. Period-of-time covered for waves for the 2017-2018 Statewide angling survey.

| Wave | Time Period Covered | Season Designation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | March 2017 | Winter |
| 2 | April | Winter |
| 3 | May | Summer |
| 4 | June | Summer |
| 5 | July | Summer |
| 6 | August | Summer |
| 7 | September | Summer |
| 8 | October | Winter |
| 9 | November | Winter |
| 10 | December | Winter |
| 11 | January 2018 | Winter |
| 12 | February | Winter |

The sample size for the 2017 survey started the same as the 2015 survey but was cut in half from June on due to budget constraints. Actual numbers of questionnaires sent varied slightly from wave to wave (Table 2). For the "summer" waves (3), 8,400 residents and nonresidents and ( 4 through 7 ), 4,200 residents and nonresidents were sampled each month. In the "winter" waves ( 8 through 12), the rate dropped to 2,100 residents and
nonresidents. Because waves 1 and 2 had fewer license holders from which to sample, these two waves were sampled at a less intense level.

A single questionnaire was used for all groups. The questionnaire (see Section 6.0 for an example), included questions on: what water was fished; nearest landmark or town; section of stream or river fished (taken from maps on the front survey page and the map page on the back of the survey); number of days fished; number of days fished at an FAS and the name(s) of the FAS; the one fish species they were primarily fishing for. The question on FAS use (new in 2015) was retained in the 2017 survey. The type of fishing (shore, boat, ice or a combination) was reinstated in the 2017 survey following its removal in 2015.

To ease the sorting process different colored forms were used for each wave as well as for initial and remail mailings. Surveys were mailed "first class pre-sort" for all the waves.

Table 2. Number of questionnaires sent for each wave by residency for the 2017
license year.

|  | Mailed |  | Useable (mailed minus undeliverable) |  | Returns (initial and remail) |  | Return Rate Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wave | Res | Nonres | Res | Nonres | Res | Nonre <br> s | Res | Nonres |
| 01 | 300 | 100 | 293 | 92 | 129 | 39 | $\begin{array}{r} 44.03 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 42.39\% |
| 02 | 3150 | 1050 | 3050 | 1004 | 1350 | 373 | $\begin{array}{r} 44.26 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 37.15\% |
| 03 | 6300 | 2100 | 6020 | 2001 | 2417 | 773 | 40.15\% | 38.63\% |
| 04 | 3150 | 1050 | 2984 | 1003 | 1204 | 389 | $\begin{array}{r} 40.35 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 38.78\% |
| 05 | 3150 | 1050 | 2976 | 1000 | 1107 | 360 | 37.21\% | 36.00\% |
| 06 | 3150 | 1050 | 2985 | 1004 | 1205 | 364 | $\begin{array}{r} 40.37 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 36.25\% |
| 07 | 3150 | 1050 | 2995 | 1004 | 1188 | 388 | $\begin{array}{r} 39.67 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 38.65\% |
| 08 | 1575 | 525 | 1499 | 507 | 644 | 189 | $\begin{array}{r} 42.96 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 37.28\% |
| 09 | 1575 | 525 | 1491 | 490 | 615 | 173 | 41.25\% | 35.31\% |
| 10 | 1575 | 525 | 1493 | 496 | 553 | 189 | $\begin{array}{r} 37.04 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 38.10\% |
| 11 | 1575 | 525 | 1503 | 500 | 660 | 199 | 43.91\% | 39.80\% |
| 12 | 1575 | 525 | 1494 | 496 | 667 | 175 | $\begin{array}{r} 44.65 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 35.28\% |

Remail questionnaires were mailed to those individuals who had not yet responded, from four to six weeks after the initial mailing. Returns for each wave were monitored and when they slowed down to a few each day the remail was sent. Included on the remail survey was a note explaining that we hadn't received their survey yet but if they had sent one in and our mail crossed paths, to please disregard this second request (see Section 6.0 for survey examples). Returns were grouped and counted according to type of license (residency), wave and mailing (initial or remail). Surveys returned as undeliverable were subtracted from the sample size.

Returned questionnaires were sorted into those that had fished in Montana during the period in question and those that had not. The "yes" respondents were keyed into an Access database using forms and lookup fields. A record was entered for each stream or lake fished. Both the stream or lake name and the nearest town or landmark was entered for each record. These data were used to identify a specific watercode for each record. Edits were run to correct invalid water codes and data out of normal ranges.

Phone surveys have been used in the past for the purpose of determining nonresponse bias associated with the mail surveys and for making adjustments to pressure estimates accordingly. The most recent phone survey was conducted in 1997. It showed no statistically significant difference in response rate between the phone and mail surveys. No phone surveys were conducted in 2017, so it was assumed that there was no nonresponse bias and no adjustment necessary.

Fishing pressure estimates were made for individual waters based upon the formula:

$$
P_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{E_{i j} * D_{i j}}{R_{i j}}\right] * A_{i j}
$$

where $P_{j}=$ Pressure for an individual water by the $j^{\text {th }}$ residency
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ Number of eligible anglers for the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ wave and $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ residency
$D_{i j}=$ Days fished that particular water for the $i^{\text {th }}$ wave and $j^{\text {th }}$ residency
$R_{i j}=$ Number of respondents from the survey for the $i^{\text {th }}$ wave and $j^{\text {th }}$ residency
$A_{i j}=$ Adjustment factor for non-response for the $i^{\text {th }}$ wave and $j^{\text {th }}$ residency
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of waves in the estimate year or season
$j=$ number of residency types (resident, nonresident, or total)

The variance was then calculated using:

$$
\operatorname{VAR}\left(P_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{E_{i j}^{2} * \operatorname{VAR}\left(D_{i j}\right)}{R_{i j}}\right] * A_{i j}^{2}
$$

where $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ij}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ij}}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ij}}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ are the same as above.
Pressure estimates between waves and residency were assumed to be independent so variances were summed to obtain total variances. The square root of the variance was taken and this number was reported as the error for fishing pressure.

### 3.0 RESULTS

### 3.1 ANGLER PRESSURE ESTIMATES ANNUAL (MARCH 2017-FEBRUARY 2018)

Licensed anglers fishing on Montana waters were estimated to have exerted 3,208,350 angler days of pressure for the 2017 license year (Table 3). Residents accounted for 2,002,833 angler days (62\%) and nonresidents made up the remaining 1,205,517 angler days (38\%). Estimates for individual waters were sorted alphabetically and are presented in Appendix A of this report.

The distribution of angler pressure among Fish, Wildlife and Parks regions (Figure 1) is heavily skewed toward the western and central portions of the state (Chart 1). Region 3 received the most angling pressure with 843,232 angler days ( $26.3 \%$ ), followed closely by Region 4 with 698,490 angler days (21.7\%). Regions 2, 5 and 1 were next in order and close to each other, with 511,618 (15.9\%), 439,263 (13.7\%), and 398,769 (12.4\%) angler days respectively. The easternmost regions of 6 and 7 were the lowest in pressure with 197,882 (6.2\%) and 106,404 (3.3\%) angler days respectively.

Residents (Chart 1) exerted the majority of angling pressure in 2017 in all regions but Region 3. The percent of angling pressure by residents for each region was: Region 1 72.6\%, Region 2 - 64.1\%, Region 3 - 42.3\%, Region 4 - 78.1\%, Region 5 - 56.5\%, Region 6 70.6\%, and Region 7 - 81\%. July (wave 5) was, overall, the peak fishing period, while March (wave 1) was the least fished period during the year (Table 4). Residents fished the most in July (wave 5) and nonresidents also fished the most during July (wave 5).
Residents fished least in February (wave 12) while nonresidents fished least in March (wave 1).

Angling on lotic waters (streams/rivers) accounted for 65.2\% (2,093,431 angler days) of the statewide pressure while lentic waters (lakes/ponds/reservoirs) accounted for $34.2 \%$ (1,098,427 angler days) of the pressure (Table 3).

Regions 1 and 6 were the two regions in which lake angling pressure exceeded stream pressure ( $62.5 \%$ and $73.2 \%$, respectively from lakes), although the lake pressure in Region 6 was due primarily to angling on one water (Fort Peck Reservoir) (Table 3, Chart 2). Region 4 was relatively balanced between stream and lake angling, although the lake angling pressure in Region 4 was the greatest for any region of the state (349,058 angler days). Regions 2, 3, 5 and 7 were dominated by stream anglers, and while Region 3 had the highest number of stream anglers for any region (700,665 angler days), Region 5 had the highest percentage (87.5\%) of anglers that were stream anglers.

| Table 3. Angling Pressure in angler days by Region by Lake or Stream for the survey license year 2017. Trips = Number of days respondents to the mail survey fished on the waterbody. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---- Tota | -- | Reside | - | Non-Res | ---- |
|  | Pressure | Trips | Pressure | Trips | Pressure | Trips |
| Region 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 1,752 | 10 | 918 | 4 | 834 | 6 |
| Lake | 248,231 | 1,437 | 192,988 | 1,186 | 55,243 | 251 |
| Stream | 148,786 | 762 | 95,629 | 542 | 53,157 | 220 |
| Total: | 398,769 | 2,209 | 289,535 | 1,732 | 109,234 | 477 |
| Region 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 260 | 1 |  |  | 260 | 1 |
| Lake | 130,977 | 660 | 103,031 | 549 | 27,946 | 111 |
| Stream | 380,381 | 1,950 | 225,078 | 1,246 | 155,303 | 704 |
| Total: | 511,618 | 2,611 | 328,109 | 1,795 | 183,509 | 816 |
| Region 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 2,889 | 12 |  |  | 2,889 | 12 |
| Lake | 139,678 | 740 | 68,205 | 410 | 71,473 | 330 |
| Stream | 700,665 | 3,525 | 288,235 | 1,652 | 412,430 | 1,873 |
| Total: | 843,232 | 4,277 | 356,440 | 2,062 | 486,792 | 2,215 |
| Region 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 286 | 1 |  |  | 286 | 1 |
| Lake | 349,058 | 1,940 | 316,297 | 1,787 | 32,761 | 153 |
| Stream | 349,146 | 2,122 | 229,043 | 1,368 | 120,103 | 754 |
| Total: | 698,490 | 4,063 | 545,340 | 3,155 | 153,150 | 908 |
| Region 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 278 | 2 | 68 | 1 | 210 | 1 |
| Lake | 54,673 | 357 | 45,590 | 313 | 9,082 | 44 |
| Stream | 384,312 | 2,069 | 202,316 | 1,159 | 181,997 | 910 |
| Total: | 439,263 | 2,428 | 247,974 | 1,473 | 191,289 | 955 |
| Region 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 88 | 1 | 88 | 1 |  |  |
| Lake | 144,784 | 831 | 93,335 | 617 | 51,449 | 214 |
| Stream | 53,009 | 365 | 46,294 | 326 | 6,715 | 39 |
| Total: | 197,882 | 1,197 | 139,717 | 944 | 58,164 | 253 |

Table 3. Angling Pressure in angler days by Region by Lake or Stream for the survey license year 2017 (continued). Trips = Number of days respondents to the mail survey fished on the waterbody.

## Region 7

| Lake | 29,273 | 178 | 17,286 | 118 | 11,987 | 60 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stream | 77,131 | 475 | 68,915 | 397 | 8,216 | 78 |  |
|  | Total: | 106,404 | 653 | 86,201 | 515 | 20,203 | 138 |

Statewide Pressure Estimates by Survey License Year 2017

|  | ----- Totals ------- ---- |  | Resident |  | Non-Resident ------- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pressure } \\ & 16.493 \end{aligned}$ | Trips $89$ | Pressure $9,465$ | Trips 54 | Pressure 7,028 | Trips 35 |
| Lake | 1,098,427 | 6,159 | 837,859 | 4,991 | 260,567 | 1,168 |
| Stream | 2,093,431 | 11,268 | 1,155,509 | 6,690 | 937,922 | 4,578 |
| Statewide Total | 3,208,350 | 17,516 | 2,002,833 | 11,735 | 1,205,517 | 5,781 |

# Chart 1. Statewide Angling Pressure Comparing Region and Residency 

 2017-18

## Chart 2. Statewide Angling Pressure Comparing Region and Water Type 2017-18



| Table 4. Pressure in angler days by wave for the 2017 survey license year. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wave | Month | Total | Resident | Nonresident |
| 01 | March | 89,562 | 79,198 | 10,365 |
|  | April | 182,254 | 125,245 | 57,010 |
| 03 | May | 268,610 | 192,033 | 76,577 |
| 04 | June | 439,829 | 284,032 | 155,797 |
| 05 | July | 564,177 | 347,762 | 216,415 |
| 06 | August | 542,003 | 344,445 | 197,558 |
| 07 | September | 319,655 | 178,271 | 141,384 |
| 08 | October | 255,934 | 143,047 | 112,887 |
| 09 | November | 148,759 | 77,268 | 71,491 |
| 10 | December | 155,725 | 85,614 | 70,111 |
| 11 | January | 122,411 | 79,752 | 42,659 |
| 12 | February | 119,431 | 66,169 | 53,262 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Angling pressure was summarized by the 40 major drainages within the state as identified in the 2013 Statewide Fisheries Management Plan (Figure 1, Table 5). The pressure by drainage ranged from a high of 373,093 angler days for the Madison River drainage to a low of 224 angler days for the Little Missouri River drainage. The drainage with the highest percent of resident anglers was the Powder River (100\%), while the Bighorn River had the lowest percentage of resident anglers (27\%). The Fort Peck Reservoir drainage had the highest percentage of lake anglers (86.6\%), mainly due to the influence of Fort Peck Reservoir, while the Beaverhead River had the lowest percentage of lake anglers (less than 1\%).


Figure 1: Statewide Management Plan Drainages

Table 5. Angling Pressure in angler days by Drainage by Lake or Stream for the survey license year 2017.


| Table 5. Angling Pressure in angler days by Drainage by Lake or Stream for the survey license year 2017 (continued). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pressure } \\ & \text { Prest } \\ & \hline \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\text { Pressure Trips }}{\text {--- Resident }}$ |  | --- Non-Resident --- <br> Pressure Trips |  |
| Fort Peck Reservoir |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 108,386 | 628 | 65,603 | 440 | 42,783 | 188 |
| Stream | 16,746 | 123 | 15,625 | 112 | 1,122 | 11 |
| Total: | 125,132 | 751 | 81,228 | 552 | 43,905 | 199 |
| Gallatin River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 18,583 | 96 | 15,062 | 82 | 3,521 | 14 |
| Stream | 112,594 | 519 | 58,129 | 314 | 54,466 | 205 |
| Total: | 131,177 | 615 | 73,191 | 396 | 57,987 | 219 |
| Jefferson River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 11,866 | 52 | 10,697 | 46 | 1,169 | 6 |
| Stream | 10,888 | 68 | 5,931 | 46 | 4,957 | 22 |
| Total: | 22,754 | 120 | 16,628 | 92 | 6,126 | 28 |
| Kootenai River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 49,859 | 280 | 40,447 | 237 | 9,412 | 43 |
| Stream | 35,088 | 148 | 25,401 | 109 | 9,686 | 39 |
| Total: | 84,947 | 428 | 65,848 | 346 | 19,098 | 82 |
| Little Missouri River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 224 | 3 | 224 | 3 |  |  |
| Total: | 224 | 3 | 224 | 3 |  |  |
| Lower Clark Fork River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 49,321 | 312 | 43,549 | 288 | 5,772 | 24 |
| Stream | 31,575 | 197 | 18,511 | 137 | 13,064 | 60 |
| Total: | 80,896 | 509 | 62,060 | 425 | 18,836 | 84 |
| Lower Milk River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream | 4,307 | 22 | 4,307 | 22 |  |  |
| Total: | 4,307 | 22 | 4,307 | 22 |  |  |
| Lower Missouri River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 2,996 | 18 | 2,996 | 18 |  |  |
| Stream | 2,068 | 15 | 1,339 | 13 | 730 | 2 |
| Total: | 5,064 | 33 | 4,335 | 31 | 730 | 2 |
| Lower Yellowstone River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 4,632 | 28 | 4,632 | 28 |  |  |
| Stream | 63,932 | 372 | 58,102 | 312 | 5,830 | 60 |
| Total: | 68,564 | 400 | 62,734 | 340 | 5,830 | 60 |




|  | $\frac{-- \text { Totals }}{\text { Pressure }} \text {--- }$ |  | --- Resident --Pressure Trip |  | --- Non-Resident --Pressure Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tongue River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 24,417 | 147 | 12,430 | 87 | 11,987 | 60 |
| Stream | 11,885 | 85 | 9,498 | 67 | 2,386 | 18 |
| Total: | 36,301 | 232 | 21,928 | 154 | 14,373 | 78 |
| Undesignated R1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 1,752 | 10 | 918 | 4 | 834 | 6 |
| Total: | 1,752 | 10 | 918 | 4 | 834 | 6 |
| Undesignated R2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 260 | 1 |  |  | 260 | 1 |
| Total: | 260 | 1 |  |  | 260 | 1 |
| Undesignated R3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 2,889 | 12 |  |  | 2,889 | 12 |
| Total: | 2,889 | 12 |  |  | 2,889 | 12 |
| Undesignated R4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 286 | 1 |  |  | 286 | 1 |
| Total: | 286 | 1 |  |  | 286 | 1 |
| Undesignated R5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 278 | 2 | 68 | 1 | 210 | 1 |
| Total: | 278 | 2 | 68 | 1 | 210 | 1 |
| Undesignated R6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 88 | 1 | 88 | 1 |  |  |
| Total: | 88 | 1 | 88 | 1 |  |  |
| Undesignated Statewide |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 10,343 | 59 | 7,794 | 45 | 2,549 | 14 |
| Lake | 1,753 | 16 | 1,127 | 11 | 627 | 5 |
| Total: | 12,096 | 75 | 8,921 | 56 | 3,176 | 19 |
| Undesignated Western District |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 598 | 3 | 598 | 3 |  |  |
| Total: | 598 | 3 | 598 | 3 |  |  |
| Upper Clark Fork River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 2,353 | 17 | 2,353 | 17 |  |  |
| Stream | 22,507 | 121 | 17,360 | 95 | 5,147 | 26 |
| Total: | 24,860 | 138 | 19,713 | 112 | 5,147 | 26 |
| Upper Milk River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 5,970 | 40 | 5,240 | 38 | 730 | 2 |
| Stream | 1,358 | 10 | 1,358 | 10 |  |  |
| Total: | 7,328 | 50 | 6,598 | 48 | 730 | 2 |

Table 5. Angling Pressure in angler days by Drainage by Lake or Stream for the survey license
year 2017 (continued). year 2017 (continued).


### 3.2 ANGLER PRESSURE ESTIMATES SUMMER (MAY-SEPTEMBER)

The "summer" season for angling in Montana is considered that period of the year from the first of May through the end of September. In 2017, 2,134,273 (66.5\%) days of angling pressure occurred during this period (Table 6). Residents accounted for $1,346,542$ angler days ( $63.1 \%$ ) and nonresidents made up the remaining 787,732 angler days (36.9\%). Estimates for individual waters were sorted alphabetically and are presented in Appendix B of this report. Monthly estimates for all waters are also provided in Appendix D.

The distribution of angler pressure among Fish, Wildlife and Parks regions during summer (Chart 3, Table 6) is heavily skewed toward the western and central portions of the state. Region 3 received the most angling pressure with 588,709 angler days (27.6\%), followed closely by Region 4 with 432,509 angler days (20.3\%). Regions 2, 5 and 1 were next in order and close to each other, with 332,451 (15.6\%), 293,492 (13.7\%), and 290,798 (13.6\%) angler days respectively. The easternmost regions of 6 and 7 were the lowest in pressure with 117,904 (5.5\%) and 66,399 (3.1\%) angler days respectively.

Residents (Chart 3) exerted the majority of angling pressure during the summer season in 2017 in all regions but Region 3. The percent of angling pressure by residents for each region was: Region 1 - 73.1\%, Region 2 - 62.6\%, Region 3 - 40.9\%, Region 4 - 78.4\%, Region 5 - 61.4\%, Region 6-85.6\%, and Region 7-83.6\%.

Angling on lotic waters (streams/rivers) accounted for 66.8\% (1,415,730 angler days) of the statewide pressure during the summer season while lentic waters (lakes/ponds/reservoirs) accounted for $33.2 \%$ ( 703,922 angler days) of the pressure and undesignated waters accounted for less than 0.07\% (1,546 angler days) of the pressure (Table 6).

Regions 1 and 6 were the two regions in which lake angling pressure exceeded stream pressure during the summer season (59\% and 67.3\%, respectively, from lakes), although the lake pressure in Region 6 was due primarily to angling on one water (Fort Peck Reservoir) (Table 6, Chart 4). Region 4 was relatively balanced between stream and lake angling ( 49.2 and $50.8 \%$, respectively). Regions $2,3,5$ and 7 were dominated by stream anglers, and Region 3 had the highest number of stream anglers for any region (488,391 angler days) and the second highest percentage ( $83.3 \%$ ) of anglers that were stream anglers (Region 5 had $84.1 \%$ but only 246,506 angler days for streams).

Angling pressure during the summer was summarized within the 40 major drainages (Figure 1, Table 7). The pressure by drainage ranged from a high of 258,294 angler days for the Madison River drainage to a low of 135 angler days for the Little Missouri River drainage. The drainages with the highest percentage of resident anglers were the Little Missouri, Lower Milk River, Lower Missouri River, Powder River and Upper Milk River all at
$100 \%$, while the Madison had the lowest percentage of resident anglers (27\%). Fort Peck Reservoir had the highest percentage of lake anglers (87.6\%) followed closely by the Marias (82.2\%), mainly due to the influence of Tiber Reservoir, while the Missouri RiverPoplar had the lowest percentage of lake anglers (1.1\%) except for the Belt Creek, Lower Milk River and Powder River where there was no lake fishing reported.

## Chart 3. Statewide Angling Pressure Comparing Region and Residency - Summer Months 2017



Chart 4. Angling Pressure Comparing Region and Water Type - Summer Months 2017


|  | ------ Totals <br> Pressure | Trips | ----- Resident Pressure | Trips | ----- Non-Resident Pressure | Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undesignated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 10,468 | 57 | 8,126 | 45 | 2,342 | 12 |
| Lake | 1,546 | 14 | 1,127 | 11 | 419 | 3 |
| Total: | 12,014 | 71 | 9,253 | 56 | 2,761 | 15 |
| Region 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 546 | 3 | 546 | 3 |  |  |
| Lake | 171,980 | 1,128 | 135,915 | 939 | 36,066 | 189 |
| Stream | 118,271 | 647 | 76,119 | 462 | 42,152 | 185 |
| Total: | 290,798 | 1,778 | 212,580 | 1,404 | 78,218 | 374 |
| Region 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 260 | 1 |  |  | 260 | 1 |
| Lake | 73,586 | 486 | 62,385 | 426 | 11,201 | 60 |
| Stream | 258,604 | 1,399 | 145,750 | 906 | 112,854 | 493 |
| Total: | 332,451 | 1,886 | 208,135 | 1,332 | 124,315 | 554 |
| Region 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 2,785 | 11 |  |  | 2,785 | 11 |
| Lake | 97,532 | 606 | 48,225 | 347 | 49,307 | 259 |
| Stream | 488,391 | 2,685 | 192,533 | 1,242 | 295,858 | 1,443 |
| Total: | 588,709 | 3,302 | 240,758 | 1,589 | 347,950 | 1,713 |
| Region 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 286 | 1 |  |  | 286 | 1 |
| Lake | 212,421 | 1,420 | 197,462 | 1,329 | 14,959 | 91 |
| Stream | 219,802 | 1,561 | 141,761 | 1,019 | 78,041 | 542 |
| Total: | 432,509 | 2,982 | 339,223 | 2,348 | 93,286 | 634 |
| Region 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undesig | 278 | 2 | 68 | 1 | 210 | 1 |
| Lake | 46,708 | 312 | 39,323 | 275 | 7,386 | 37 |
| Stream | 246,506 | 1,511 | 140,812 | 886 | 105,694 | 625 |
| Total: | 293,492 | 1,825 | 180,203 | 1,162 | 113,290 | 663 |



| Table 7. Angling Pressure in angler days by Drainage by Lake or Stream for the Summer season (May - September) by Survey License Year 2017. <br> --- Totals --- <br> --- Resident --- <br> --- Non-Resident --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pressure | Trips | Pressure | Trips | Pressure T |  |
| Beaverhead River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 192 | 1 | 192 | 1 |  |  |
| Stream | 19,524 | 143 | 4,759 | 48 | 14,766 | 95 |
| Total: | 19,716 | 144 | 4,951 | 49 | 14,766 | 95 |
| Belt Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream | 4,034 | 26 | 3,015 | 20 | 1,019 | 6 |
| Total: | 4,034 | 26 | 3,015 | 20 | 1,019 | 6 |
| Big Hole River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 10,472 | 56 | 9,481 | 51 | 990 | 5 |
| Stream | 80,206 | 467 | 36,458 | 239 | 43,748 | 228 |
| Total: | 90,678 | 523 | 45,939 | 290 | 44,738 | 233 |
| Bighorn River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 7,970 | 48 | 5,688 | 34 | 2,282 | 14 |
| Stream | 85,151 | 591 | 27,017 | 163 | 58,134 | 428 |
| Total: | 93,121 | 639 | 32,705 | 197 | 60,416 | 442 |
| Bitterroot River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 7,068 | 47 | 4,801 | 35 | 2,267 | 12 |
| Stream | 53,782 | 294 | 28,768 | 187 | 25,014 | 107 |
| Total: | 60,850 | 341 | 33,569 | 222 | 27,281 | 119 |
| Blackfoot River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 24,542 | 182 | 21,431 | 163 | 3,111 | 19 |
| Stream | 67,746 | 349 | 39,686 | 230 | 28,060 | 119 |
| Total: | 92,288 | 531 | 61,117 | 393 | 31,171 | 138 |
| Boulder River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 267 | 2 | 267 | 2 |  |  |
| Stream | 2,840 | 17 | 1,950 | 12 | 890 | 5 |
| Total: | 3,107 | 19 | 2,217 | 14 | 890 | 5 |
| Clark Fork River - Flint / Rock |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 37,147 | 219 | 31,609 | 191 | 5,538 | 28 |
| Stream | 52,596 | 296 | 19,192 | 145 | 33,404 | 151 |
| Total: | 89,743 | 515 | 50,801 | 336 | 38,942 | 179 |
| Flathead River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 81,463 | 546 | 60,361 | 425 | 21,102 | 121 |
| Stream | 49,587 | 262 | 34,514 | 201 | 15,073 | 61 |
| Total: | 131,050 | 808 | 94,875 | 626 | 36,175 | 182 |
| Fort Peck Reservoir |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 61,661 | 464 | 49,828 | 374 | 11,833 | 90 |
| Stream | 8,758 | 92 | 7,636 | 81 | 1,122 | 11 |
| Gallatin River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 12,098 | 80 | 10,554 | 72 | 1,544 | 8 |
| Stream | 71,424 | 373 | 39,028 | 237 | 32,396 | 136 |
| Total: | 83,522 | 453 | 49,582 | 309 | 33,940 | 144 |


| Table 7. Angling Pressure in angler days by Drainage by Lake or Stream for the Summer season (May - September) by Survey License Year 2017 (continued). <br> --- Totals --- <br> --- Resident --- <br> --- Non-Resident --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jefferson River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 5,902 | 37 | 4,733 | 31 | 1,169 | 6 |
| Stream | 9,058 | 56 | 4,941 | 38 | 4,118 | 18 |
| Total: | 14,960 | 93 | 9,674 | 69 | 5,287 | 24 |
| Kootenai River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 32,543 | 203 | 24,039 | 164 | 8,503 | 39 |
| Stream | 21,738 | 112 | 14,113 | 79 | 7,625 | 33 |
| Total: | 54,281 | 315 | 38,152 | 243 | 16,128 | 72 |
| Little Missouri River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 135 | 2 | 135 | 2 |  |  |
| Total: | 135 | 2 | 135 | 2 |  |  |
| Lower Clark Fork River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 41,198 | 268 | 37,116 | 249 | 4,082 | 19 |
| Stream | 27,163 | 175 | 17,715 | 128 | 9,448 | 47 |
| Total: | 68,361 | 443 | 54,831 | 377 | 13,530 | 66 |
| Lower Milk River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream | 3,016 | 17 | 3,016 | 17 |  |  |
| Total: | 3,016 | 17 | 3,016 | 17 |  |  |
| Lower Missouri River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 1,714 | 13 | 1,714 | 13 |  |  |
| Stream | 1,162 | 11 | 1,162 | 11 |  |  |
| Total: | 2,876 | 24 | 2,876 | 24 |  |  |
| Lower Yellowstone River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 4,455 | 26 | 4,455 | 26 |  |  |
| Stream | 34,918 | 247 | 30,109 | 193 | 4,809 | 54 |
| Total: | 39,373 | 273 | 34,564 | 219 | 4,809 | 54 |
| Madison River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 50,977 | 304 | 12,512 | 104 | 38,465 | 200 |
| Stream | 207,318 | 1,122 | 57,198 | 396 | 150,119 | 726 |
| Total: | 258,294 | 1,426 | 69,710 | 500 | 188,584 | 926 |
| Marias River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 18,458 | 125 | 18,115 | 122 | 344 | 3 |
| Stream | 3,994 | 31 | 3,574 | 29 | 420 | 2 |
| Total: | 22,452 | 156 | 21,689 | 151 | 764 | 5 |
| Middle Clark Fork River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 2,550 | 23 | 2,264 | 22 | 286 | , |
| Stream | 67,638 | 366 | 44,069 | 264 | 23,570 | 102 |
| Total: | 70,188 | 389 | 46,333 | 286 | 23,856 | 103 |
| Middle Milk River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 11,336 | 91 | 11,202 | 89 | 134 | 2 |
| Stream | 10,492 | 65 | 9,583 | 60 | 909 | 5 |
| Total: | 21,827 | 156 | 20,785 | 149 | 1,043 | 7 |
| Middle Yellowstone River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 6,579 | 46 | 6,579 | 46 |  |  |
| Stream | 14,566 | 107 | 13,298 | 101 | 1,268 | 6 |
| Total: | 21,146 | 153 | 19,877 | 147 | 1,268 | 6 |



| Table 7. Angling Pressure in angler days by Drainage by Lake or Stream for the Summer season (May - September) by Survey License Year 2017 (continued). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teton River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 1,080 | 7 | 1,080 | 7 |  |  |
| Stream | 1,709 | 12 | 1,123 | 9 | 587 | 3 |
| Total: | 2,789 | 19 | 2,203 | 16 | 587 | 3 |
| Tongue River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 16,162 | 114 | 10,613 | 73 | 5,549 | 41 |
| Stream | 9,414 | 68 | 8,879 | 60 | 535 | 8 |
| Total: | 25,576 | 182 | 19,492 | 133 | 6,084 | 49 |
| Upper Clark Fork River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 2,088 | 14 | 2,088 | 14 |  |  |
| Stream | 16,842 | 94 | 14,035 | 80 | 2,807 | 14 |
| Total: | 18,930 | 108 | 16,123 | 94 | 2,807 | 14 |
| Upper Milk River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 4,533 | 30 | 4,533 | 30 |  |  |
| Stream | 721 | 6 | 721 | 6 |  |  |
| Total: | 5,254 | 36 | 5,254 | 36 |  |  |
| Upper Missouri River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 159,280 | 1,056 | 145,722 | 973 | 13,558 | 83 |
| Stream | 35,630 | 260 | 26,708 | 188 | 8,922 | 72 |
| Total: | 194,910 | 1,316 | 172,430 | 1,161 | 22,480 | 155 |
| Upper Yellowstone River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake | 33,540 | 224 | 27,119 | 195 | 6,420 | 29 |
| Stream | 222,405 | 1,173 | 134,621 | 796 | 87,784 | 377 |
| Total: | 255,945 | 1,397 | 161,740 | 991 | 94,204 | 406 |
| Statewide Pressure Estimates for Summer months by Survey License Year 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text {----- Totals } \\ & \text { Pressure } \end{aligned}$ | Trips | $\begin{aligned} & \text {----- Resident } \\ & \text { Pessure } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {------- } \\ & \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ | ----- Non-Resident | $\begin{aligned} & \text { t ------- } \\ & \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ |
| Undesig | 14,622 | 75 | 8,740 | 49 | 5,882 | 26 |
| Lake | 703,922 | 4,704 | 567,068 | 3,932 | 136,854 | 772 |
| Stream | 1,415,730 | 8,416 | 770,734 | 5,038 | 644,996 | 3,378 |
| Statewide Total | 2,134,273 | 13,195 | 1,346,542 | 9,019 | 787,732 | 4,176 |

### 3.3 ANGLER PRESSURE ESTIMATES WINTER (OCTOBER-APRIL)

The "winter" season for angling is from March through April and October through February of the following year. In 2017-2018, 1,074,077 angler days (33.4\%) of the annual fishing pressure occurred during this period (Table 8). Residents accounted for 656,292 angler days (61\%) and nonresidents made up the remaining 417,784 angler days (39\%). Estimates for individual waters for the winter season sorted alphabetically are presented in Appendix C of this report. Monthly estimates for the winter months for waters sorted alphabetically are provided in Appendix E.

The distribution of angler pressure distributed among Fish, Wildlife and Parks regions during winter (Chart 5, Table 8) is heavily skewed toward the western and central portions of the state. Region 4 received the most angling pressure with 265,981 angler days (24.8\%), followed closely by Region 3 with 254,523 angler days ( $23.7 \%$ ). Regions 2,5 and 1 were next in order and close to each other, with 179,168 (16.7\%), 145,771 (13.6\%), and 107,971 (10\%) angler days respectively. The easternmost regions of 6 and 7 were the lowest in pressure with 79,978 (7.4\%) and 40,005 (3.7\%) angler days respectively.

Residents (Chart 5) exerted the majority of angling pressure during the winter season in 2017 in all regions but Regions 3, 5 and 6. The percent of angling pressure by residents for each region was: Region 1-71.3\%, Region 2 - $67 \%$, Region $3-45.5 \%$, Region $4-77.5 \%$, Region 5 - 46.5\%, Region 6-48.6\%, and Region 7 - 76.7\%.

Angling on lotic waters (streams/rivers) accounted for 63.1\% (677,701 angler days) of the statewide pressure during the winter season while lentic waters (lakes/ponds/reservoirs) accounted for $36.8 \%$ ( 394,505 angler days) of the pressure and undesignated waters accounted for less than $0.01 \%$ ( 1,871 angler days) of the pressure (Table 8).

Regions 6, 1 and 4 were the regions in which lake angling pressure exceeded stream pressure during the winter season ( $81.8 \%, 71 \%$ and $51.4 \%$, respectively, from lakes), although Region 4 had the highest number of lake anglers ( 136,637 ) (Table 8, Chart 6 ). Region 4 was relatively balanced between stream and lake angling ( $48.6 \%$ and 51.4\%, respectively). Regions $2,3,5$ and 7 were dominated by stream anglers, and Region 3 had the highest number of stream anglers for any region (212,274 angler days) while Region 5 had the highest percentage (94.5\%) of anglers that were stream anglers.

Angling pressure during winter was summarized within the 40 major drainages (Figure 1, Table 9). The pressure by drainage ranged from a high of 114,799 angler days for the Madison River drainage to a low of 88 angler days for the Little Missouri River drainage. The drainages with the highest percentage of resident anglers were the Belt Creek, Little Missouri River, Lower Milk River, Musselshell River, South Fork Flathead River and Teton River all at 100\%, while the Bighorn River and Tongue River drainages had the lowest percentage of resident anglers (17.2\% and 22.7\%). The Little Missouri River drainage had
the highest percentage of lake anglers (100\%), but based on only one trip; this was followed by the Marias River drainage with $98.6 \%$, mainly due to the influence of lake Elwell (Tiber Reservoir). The Beaverhead River, Belt Creek, Boulder River, Missouri River Dearborn and Lower Milk River drainages had the lowest percentage of lake anglers at 0\%.

Chart 5. Statewide Angling Pressure Comparing Region and Residency - Winter Months 2017-18


Chart 6. Statewide Angling Pressure Comparing Region and Water Type - Winter Months 2017-18


Table 8. Angling Pressure in angler days by Region by Lake or Stream for the winter season
of October through February of the 2017 Survey License Year.






### 3.4 PRIMARY SPECIES FISHED FOR

The mail questionnaire asked anglers to indicate the primary species they were fishing for. The answers to this question provides a good generalization regarding angler preferences and intentions, but are probably inaccurate on some waters because anglers often will intentionally fish for more than one species but can only indicate one on the questionnaire. Another innacuracy occurs in situations where anglers are fishing for one of many species of co-existing trout in a lake or stream. The angler may typically expect to catch a rainbow, cutthroat, brown, or brook trout depending on the situation. It is most likely for this reason that a common response to the survey, particularly in the troutdominant rivers of southwestern Montana, was "trout."

On a statewide basis, the most common response was "trout" (41.13\%), followed by Rainbow Trout (12.83\%), Walleye (9.24\%), Brown Trout (7.48\%), Cutthroat Trout (4.22\%), and Bass (2.43\%) (Table 10). Salmonids (trout, salmon, char, whitefish and grayling) collectively are indicated as the primary species by $72.33 \%$ of anglers.

Although salmonid fishing dominates on a statewide basis in terms of angler days, there are notable geographic differences (Table 11). Salmonid fishing comprises the majority of angling pressure in every drainage west of the Continental Divide except for the lower Clark Fork, which is heavily influenced by fishing on Noxon Rapids Reservoir for pike, walleye, bass and yellow perch. The salmonid-dominant drainages west of the divide have some notable differences. Lake trout are a very highly sought species in the Flathead River drainage (14.68\%), primarily due to Flathead Lake. Cutthroat trout constitute the majority of angling interest in the South Fork Flathead drainage (69.92\%), where FWP is actively working to eliminate the presence of any rainbow trout. Salmon (Kokanee plus salmon) are the dominant species of interest in the Kootenai River drainage, primarily due to fishing on Lake Koocanusa.

The Missouri headwater drainages in southwest Montana are dominated by trout fishing, primarily for rainbow and brown trout in the valley-bottom rivers. For these two species plus "trout", the percentage ranges from $82.61 \%$ in the Boulder River drainage to $95.68 \%$ in the Beaverhead River drainage. Cutthroat and brook trout, where indicated as the primary species, are numerically low (typically below 14\%), but are often the only game species in the mountain lakes and streams in these drainages.

The upper and middle Misouri River and the drainages in Region 4 represent a transition from salmonids to cool-water species. The Upper Missouri River drainage, which contains Canyon Ferry, Hauser and Holter reservoirs is dominated by "trout" and rainbow trout as a primary species (50.79\%), although walleye represent a significant component (27.87\%). Downstream in the Missouri-Dearborn drainage, "trout," rainbow trout and brown trout are the overwhelming favorite species and make up close to $82 \%$ of the effort. Further downstream in the Missouri River-Judith drainage, "trout"/rainbow trout still comprise the majority of species being fished for, but cool-water species such as walleye (22.36\%) and channel catfish (12.11\%) are important to anglers. The Marias River drainage is the most notable tributary to the Missouri in Region 4, due to its high emphasis on walleye (70.33\%) and northern pike (8.24\%).

The lower Missouri River mainstem drainages within Region 6 are dominated by walleye and northern pike fishing. Combined, these two species comprise $57.64 \%$ of angler preference in Fort Peck Reservoir, 44.0\% in the Missouri River-Poplar, and 69.69\% in the Lower Missouri drainage. Channel catfish are sought in all of the drainages within Region 6, but rise to their highest level in the Missouri River - Judith drainage (25.0\%).

Species preferences within the Yellowstone River drainage show a longitudinal shift from salmonid fishing in the headwaters to cool-water species in eastern Montana. In the Upper Yellowstone drainage within Region 3, the combination of "trout," rainbow trout, brown trout and cutthroat trout comprise $92.09 \%$ of angler preferences. Further downstream in Region 5, but still within the Upper Yellowstone drainage, these same species make up over $80.44 \%$ of preferences. The Middle Yellowstone River drainage still has a substantial component of anglers seeking trout (roughly $16 \%$ for "trout," rainbow trout and brown trout), but cool-water species dominate, led by channel catfish (35.87\%). The Lower Yellowstone River drainage is dominated by fishing for coolwater species, starting with channel catfish (44.0\%) followed by walleye (19.5\%), paddlefish (12.5\%), bass (12\%) and sauger ( $1.5 \%$ ). Notable tributary drainages to the Yellowstone include the Bighorn River drainage ( $87.52 \%$ for "trout," rainbow trout and brown trout), and the Tongue River drainage which has high levels for crappie (34.05\%) and walleye (31.47\%) based primarily on fishing in Tongue River reservoir.

## Table 10. Percent of Trips for each Primary

Species Fished for - Statewide by Survey License Year 2017.

| Trout | $41.13 \%$ | Common Carp | $0.22 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rainbow Trout | $12.83 \%$ | Burbot | $0.10 \%$ |
| Walleye | $9.24 \%$ | Bluegill | $0.07 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $7.48 \%$ | Sauger | $0.06 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $4.22 \%$ | Goldeye | $0.05 \%$ |
| Bass | $2.43 \%$ | Golden Trout | $0.05 \%$ |
| Channel Catfish | $2.40 \%$ | Sturgeon | $0.04 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $2.20 \%$ | Bull Trout | $0.03 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $1.37 \%$ | Lake Whitefish | $0.03 \%$ |
| Salmon | $1.35 \%$ | Rainbow Trout X Westslope | $0.03 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $1.22 \%$ | Freshwater Drum | $0.02 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $1.22 \%$ | Rainbow Smelt | $0.02 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $0.86 \%$ | Northern Pike X Muskie | $0.01 \%$ |
| Paddlefish | $0.61 \%$ | Rainbow Trout X Cutthroat | $0.01 \%$ |
| Crappie | $0.47 \%$ | Sucker | $0.01 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $0.46 \%$ | Black Crappie | $0.01 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.45 \%$ | Sunfish | $0.01 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $0.27 \%$ | Mountain Whitefish | $0.01 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $0.25 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage and Angler Survey License Year 2017.

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species

## Region: 1

Flathead River (46.27\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $18.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Lake Trout | $14.68 \%$ |
| Bass | $10.76 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $7.63 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $7.63 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $6.95 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $6.95 \%$ |
| Salmon | $5.68 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $4.31 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $1.27 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $0.98 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.88 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout X Westslope Cutthroat Trout Hybrid | $0.49 \%$ |
| Lake Whitefish | $0.49 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $0.49 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $0.20 \%$ |
| Sunfish | $0.10 \%$ |

Kootenai River (19.38\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Rainbow Trout | $24.30 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Trout | $19.86 \%$ |
| Salmon | $19.39 \%$ |
| Bass | $10.51 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $9.58 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $3.50 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $2.10 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $1.87 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $1.17 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $0.93 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.47 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $0.23 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $0.23 \%$ |

Lower Clark Fork River ( $23.04 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Bass | $14.73 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Trout | $12.18 \%$ |
| Walleye | $7.66 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $4.91 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $4.13 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $3.93 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $3.93 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $2.95 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $2.95 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $2.75 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $2.16 \%$ |
| Salmon | $1.96 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $0.79 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $0.39 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.39 \%$ |

## Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage and Angler Survey License Year 2017 (continued).

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species
South Fork Flathead River (6.02\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Cutthroat Trout | $69.92 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Trout | $18.05 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $3.01 \%$ |
| Bull Trout | $2.26 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.75 \%$ |

Swan River (4.03\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $25.84 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Lake Trout | $14.61 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $7.87 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $5.62 \%$ |
| Bass | $4.49 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $3.37 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $3.37 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $2.25 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $1.12 \%$ |
| Salmon | $1.12 \%$ |

## Region: <br> 2

Bitterroot River (21.95\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $52.71 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $11.69 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $10.65 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $10.30 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $2.79 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $1.92 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $0.70 \%$ |
| Walleye | $0.52 \%$ |

Blackfoot River (22.99\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $47.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cutthroat Trout | $15.17 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $10.00 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $4.17 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $3.00 \%$ |
| Bass | $2.00 \%$ |
| Salmon | $1.67 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $0.83 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $0.50 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $0.50 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.33 \%$ |
| Walleye | $0.17 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.17 \%$ |

Clark Fork River - Flint / Rock ( $28.70 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $53.27 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $18.83 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $8.01 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $6.81 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $2.00 \%$ |
| Salmon | $1.74 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $1.07 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $0.80 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $0.27 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $0.13 \%$ |
| Burbot | $0.13 \%$ |

## Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage and Angler Survey License Year 2017 (continued).

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species
Middle Clark Fork River (21.03\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $54.46 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $15.85 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $6.74 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $3.64 \%$ |
| Bass | $1.82 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $0.36 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $0.36 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.18 \%$ |
| Fork River (5.29\% of days fished in this Region.) |  |
| Trout | $41.30 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $28.99 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $15.22 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $7.25 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $5.07 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $2.17 \%$ |

## Region: <br> 3

Beaverhead River (3.79\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $47.53 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brown Trout | $43.21 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $4.94 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $1.23 \%$ |

Big Hole River ( $14.56 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $47.99 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brown Trout | $25.04 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $10.27 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $7.38 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $2.89 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $2.41 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.48 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $0.16 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout X Cutthroat Trout Hybrid | $0.16 \%$ |

Boulder River ( $0.54 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $60.87 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brook Trout | $13.04 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $13.04 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $8.70 \%$ |

Gallatin River (14.38\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $48.94 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $22.76 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $11.87 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $7.64 \%$ |
| Bass | $1.30 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $1.14 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.98 \%$ |
| Golden Trout | $0.33 \%$ |
| Bluegill | $0.33 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $0.16 \%$ |
| Walleye | $0.16 \%$ |

## Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage and Angler Survey License Year 2017 (continued).

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species
Jefferson River ( $2.81 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $47.50 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brown Trout | $20.00 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $10.00 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $7.50 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $2.50 \%$ |

Madison River (43.15\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $58.02 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $23.02 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $13.16 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.54 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.38 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $0.22 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.11 \%$ |
| Mountain Whitefish | $0.05 \%$ |
| Common Carp | $0.05 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $0.05 \%$ |
| XXX | $0.05 \%$ |

Red Rock River (3.25\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $47.48 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $21.58 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $10.79 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $6.47 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $4.32 \%$ |
| Burbot | $2.16 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $1.44 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $1.44 \%$ |

Ruby River ( $2.34 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $50.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brown Trout | $24.00 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $15.00 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $4.00 \%$ |

Upper Missouri River (1.92\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $34.15 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Walleye | $14.63 \%$ |
| Common Carp | $9.76 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $9.76 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $6.10 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $6.10 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $3.66 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $1.22 \%$ |

Upper Yellowstone River (13.00\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $55.40 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brown Trout | $14.03 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $12.77 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $9.89 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $1.44 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $0.18 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $0.18 \%$ |



## Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage and Angler Survey License Year 2017 (continued).

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species

Smith River (7.04\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $58.74 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $17.13 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $14.69 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $2.80 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $1.40 \%$ |
| Burbot | $0.70 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $0.35 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $0.35 \%$ |

Sun River (4.23\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $51.74 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $19.19 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $9.30 \%$ |
| Nothern Pike | $4.07 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $2.91 \%$ |
| Arctic Grayling | $1.74 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $1.16 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.58 \%$ |

Teton River ( $0.54 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $40.91 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $27.27 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $9.09 \%$ |
| Goldeye | $4.55 \%$ |

Upper Milk River ( $0.17 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)
Nothern Pike
$28.57 \%$
Upper Missouri River (44.77\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $36.50 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Walleye | $27.87 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $14.29 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $12.37 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $1.59 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.99 \%$ |
| Burbot | $0.60 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $0.38 \%$ |
| Kokanee salmon | $0.33 \%$ |
| Common Carp | $0.27 \%$ |
| Salmon | $0.16 \%$ |
| Paddlefish | $0.11 \%$ |
| Channel Catfish | $0.05 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $0.05 \%$ |

## Region:

5
Bighorn River (39.62\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $61.85 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brown Trout | $18.19 \%$ |
| Rainow Trout | $7.48 \%$ |
| Channel Catfish | $1.56 \%$ |
| Walleye | $1.56 \%$ |
| Bass | $1.35 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $1.25 \%$ |
| Common Carp | $1.04 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $0.42 \%$ |
| Sauger | $0.31 \%$ |
| Burbot | $0.10 \%$ |

## Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage and Angler Survey License Year 2017 (continued).

Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species
Middle Yellowstone River (9.18\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Channel Catfish | $35.87 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bass | $18.39 \%$ |
| Trout | $11.66 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $4.48 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $4.48 \%$ |
| Goldeye | $3.14 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $3.14 \%$ |
| Common Carp | $1.79 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $1.35 \%$ |
| Crappie | $0.90 \%$ |
| Bluegill | $0.45 \%$ |
| Sauger | $0.45 \%$ |
| Walleye | $0.45 \%$ |

Musselshell River (1.85\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $46.67 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $13.33 \%$ |
| Channel Catfish | $11.11 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $8.89 \%$ |
| Bass | $6.67 \%$ |
| Walleye | $4.44 \%$ |
| Northern Pike X Muskie Hybrid | $2.22 \%$ |

Upper Yellowstone River ( $49.26 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Trout | $59.87 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rainbow Trout | $8.28 \%$ |
| Brown Trout | $6.69 \%$ |
| Walleye | $6.10 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $5.60 \%$ |
| Brook Trout | $3.01 \%$ |
| Golden Trout | $0.50 \%$ |
| Bass | $0.42 \%$ |
| Common Carp | $0.33 \%$ |
| Yellow Perch | $0.17 \%$ |
| Channel Catfish | $0.08 \%$ |
| Largemouth Bass | $0.08 \%$ |
| Whitefish | $0.08 \%$ |

## Region:

6
Fort Peck Reservoir ( $62.32 \%$ of days fished in this Region.)

| Walleye | $46.78 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Nothern Pike | $10.86 \%$ |
| Salmon | $7.10 \%$ |
| Paddlefish | $6.57 \%$ |
| Lake Trout | $5.23 \%$ |
| Channel Catfish | $4.29 \%$ |
| Trout | $1.61 \%$ |
| Bass | $1.07 \%$ |
| Rainbow Trout | $0.27 \%$ |

Lower Milk River (1.84\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Channel Catfish | $68.18 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Walleye | $22.73 \%$ |

Lower Missouri River (2.76\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Walleye | $42.42 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Nothern Pike | $27.27 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $12.12 \%$ |
| Bull Trout | $6.06 \%$ |
| Channel Catfish | $3.03 \%$ |
| Trout | $3.03 \%$ |


| Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage and Angler Survey License Year 2017 (continued). |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drainage | Primary Species Fished for | Percent of days for species |
| Middle Milk River (18.30\% of days fished in this Region.) |  |  |
|  | Walleye | 46.12\% |
|  | Trout <br> Nothern Pike | 22.83\% $13.24 \%$ |
|  | Nothern Pike | 13.24\% |
|  | Brook Trout | 3.65\% |
|  | Cutthroat Trout | 1.83\% |
|  | Bluegill | 1.37\% |
|  | Smallmouth Bass | $0.91 \%$ |
|  | Brown Trout | 0.46\% |
|  | Yellow Perch | 0.46\% |
| Missouri River - Judith (0.67\% of days fished in this Region.) |  |  |
|  | Walleye | 25.00\% |
|  | Channel Catfish | 25.00\% |
|  | Brook Trout | 12.50\% |
|  | Trout | 12.50\% |
|  | Bass | 12.50\% |
| Missouri River - Poplar (10.44\% of days fished in this Region.) |  |  |
|  | Walleye | 42.40\% |
|  | Rainbow Trout | 9.60\% |
|  | Channel Catfish | 4.00\% |
|  | Paddlefish | 4.00\% |
|  | Trout | 4.00\% |
|  | Salmon | 3.20\% |
|  | Nothern Pike | 1.60\% |
|  | Largemouth Bass | 0.80\% |
|  |  | 0.80\% |
| Upper Milk River (3.59\% of days fished in this Region.) |  |  |
|  | Walleye | 62.79\% |
|  | Nothern Pike | 11.63\% |
|  | Trout | 6.98\% |
|  | Rainbow Trout | 6.98\% |
|  | Channel Catfish | 4.65\% |
| Region: | 7 |  |
| Little Missouri River (0.46\% of days fished in this Region.) |  |  |
|  | Trout | 33.33\% |
| Lower Yellowstone River (61.26\% of days fished in this Region.) |  |  |
|  | Channel Catfish | 44.00\% |
|  | Walleye | 19.50\% |
|  | Paddlefish | 12.50\% |
|  | Bass | 6.25\% |
|  | Smallmouth Bass | 4.00\% |
|  | Largemouth Bass | 1.75\% |
|  | Sauger | 1.50\% |
|  | Bluegill | 1.50\% |
|  | Trout | 1.25\% |
|  | Yellow Perch | 0.50\% |
|  | Brown Trout | 0.50\% |
|  | Nothern Pike | 0.25\% |
|  | Sucker | 0.25\% |
|  | Sturgeon | $0.25 \%$ |
|  | Rainbow Trout | 0.25\% |
|  | Bull Trout | 0.25\% |
| Powder River (2.76\% of days fished in this Region.) |  |  |
|  | Channel Catfish | 94.44\% |

Table 11. Percent of Trips for each Primary Species Fished for - by Region and Drainage and Angler Survey License Year 2017 (continued).
Drainage Primary Species Fished for Percent of days for species
Tongue River (35.53\% of days fished in this Region.)

| Crappie | $34.05 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Walleye | $31.47 \%$ |
| Channel Catfish | $11.21 \%$ |
| Trout | $5.60 \%$ |
| Smallmouth Bass | $3.45 \%$ |
| Bass | $3.02 \%$ |
| Common Carp | $0.86 \%$ |
| Cutthroat Trout | $0.43 \%$ |

### 3.5 FISHING ACCESS SITE USE

Anglers were asked to indicate if they used an FWP Fishing Access Site (FAS) to access the water they fished. If they answered in the affirmative, they were then asked to provide the name of the FAS. The FAS icon (a fish facing a hook and line) accompanied this question to try to make it clear which sites were FWP sites. The location of many FASs was increased on the maps for the 2017 survey relative to the 2015 survey, also to try to help the angler answer the question correctly.

In terms of angler days, $51.7 \%$ and $58.0 \%$ of residents and nonresidents, respectively, indicated that they used an FWP FAS. These numbers were determined to be inaccurate however, because when many of the anglers identified the access site, it was in fact an access site provided by other public agencies. In order to quantify this error, the names of access sites which were provided were evaluated for correctness. Overall, $71.4 \%$ of resident angler days and $80.8 \%$ of non-resident angler days were attributed to an FWP site, while the remainder was attributed to sites owned by other agencies, access from bridge rights-of-way, or even private property. These "correction factors" were then used to estimate the actual percentage of angler days using FWP FASs, as follows:

Non-residents: $0.580 \times 0.808=.469$ or $46.9 \%$ of non-resident angler days occurring through the use of a Montana FWP FAS

Residents: $0.517 \times 0.714=0.369$ or $36.9 \%$ of resident angler days occurring through the use of a Montana FWP FAS.

The initial question in this survey was similar to one that was asked as part of the 2007 statewide mail survey, where the angler was asked if they had used a bridge, fishing access site, or other means to gain access to the fishery. Overall, $5.1 \%$ of the access was from bridges, and $55.5 \%$ of the access was from fishing access sites. Respondents in the 2007 survey were not asked to identify the name of the access site, so there were undoubtedly a number of respondents that gained access at sites not provided by FWP.

### 3.6 ANGLER ACCESS

On the questionnaire, anglers were asked if they had mostly fished from shore, boat, both shore and boat, or ice. When considered on a drainage basis (Table 12), the Bighorn River had the lowest percentage (14.55\%) fishing from shore. While the Missouri River - Dearborn had the highest percent fishing from boats (61.68\%) when considering only those only drainages with more than a handful of fishing days (the Little Missouri River only had 3 total days fished). Conversely, the Boulder River drainage had the most fishing from shore (100\%) and the least fishing from a boat ( $0 \%$ ). For those drainages where there was ice fishing, the drainages with the least were the Bighorn River and the Bitterroot River ( 0.16 and 0.17\%), while the Clark Flint/Rock, Fort Peck Reservoir, Jefferson River, Red Rock, and Ruby drainages all had greater than 10\% of the anglers fishing through the ice.

Region 6 had the lowest percentage of anglers fishing from shore (29.6\%) while Regions 3 and 7 had the greatest percent (55.25\% and 55.74\%) (Table 13). In terms of fishing from a boat, Regions 2,3 and 5 were the lowest (30.24, 30 and $30.34 \%$ ), while Regions 6 was highest at $53.26 \%$. Region 5 had the lowest level of ice anglers ( $0.33 \%$ ), while Region 6 had the highest level (10.28\%). Residents were more slightly more likely to fish from shore (45.23\%) than were nonresidents (42.05\%) (Table 14). Residents and nonresidents were equally likely to fish from a boat ( 39.71 and $39.54 \%$ ), but nonresidents were slightly more likely to fish from both a boat and shore (11.97\%) than residents (9.88\%). Appendix G provides percentage of anglers accessing the water by each of these types for individual waterbodies.

Table 12. Angler types of fishing by drainage (total days fished and percentages).

| Drainage Name | Shore | Boat | Shore/ Boat | Ice | Ice /Shore | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beaverhead River (2017) | 104 (64.2\%) | \| 36 (22.22\%) | 20 (12.35\%) | \| | \| | 162 |
| Belt Creek (2017) | 28 (93.33\%) | \| 2 (6.67\%) | \| | \| | \| | 30 |
| Big Hole River (2017) | 266 (42.7\%) | \| 305 (48.96\%) | 47 (7.54\%) | \| 1 (0.16\%) | \| | 623 |
| Bighorn River (2017) | 140 (14.55\%) | \| 480 (49.9\%) | \| 334 (34.72\%) | \| | \| | 962 |
| Bitterroot River (2017) | 304 (53.05\%) | \| 181 (31.59\%) | 38 (6.63\%) | 1 (0.17\%) | \| | 573 |
| Blackfoot River (2017) | 254 (42.33\%) | 246 (41\%) | \| 74 (12.33\%) | 19 (3.17\%) | \| | 600 |
| Boulder River (2017) | 23 (100\%) | \| | \| | \| | \| | 23 |
| Clark Fork River - Flint / Rock (2017) | 427 (57.01\%) | \| 175 (23.36\%) | \| 35 (4.67\%) | \| 108 (14.42\%) | \| | 749 |
| Flathead River (2017) | 241 (23.58\%) | 553 (54.11\%) | \| 108 (10.57\%) | 75 (7.34\%) | \| | 1022 |
| Fort Peck Reservoir (2017) | 127 (16.91\%) | \| 457 (60.85\%) | \| 60 (7.99\%) | 102 (13.58\%) | \| | 751 |
| Gallatin River (2017) | 549 (89.27\%) | 25 (4.07\%) | \| 16 (2.6\%) | \| 19 (3.09\%) | \| | 615 |
| Jefferson River (2017) | 53 (44.17\%) | \| 53 (44.17\%) | \| 1 (0.83\%) | \| 13 (10.83\%) | \| | 120 |
| Kootenai River (2017) | 160 (37.38\%) | \| 218 (50.93\%) | \| 24 (5.61\%) | 25 (5.84\%) | \| | 428 |
| Little Missouri River (2017) | 1 (33.33\%) | \| 2 (66.67\%) | \| | \| | \| | 3 |
| Lower Clark Fork River (2017) | 184 (36.15\%) | \| 290 (56.97\%) | \| 26 (5.11\%) | 9 (1.77\%) | \| | 509 |
| Lower Milk River (2017) | 20 (90.91\%) | \| 2 (9.09\%) | \| | \| | \| | 22 |
| Lower Missouri River (2017) | 22 (66.67\%) | 10 (30.3\%) | \| | 1 (3.03\%) | \| | 33 |
| Lower Yellowstone River (2017) | 268 (67\%) | \| 102 (25.5\%) | \| 28 (7\%) | \| 1 (0.25\%) | \| | 400 |
| Madison River (2017) | 953 (51.63\%) | \| 562 (30.44\%) | \| 278 (15.06\%) | \| 27 (1.46\%) | \| | 1846 |
| Marias River (2017) | 53 (29.12\%) | \| 118 (64.84\%) | \| 1 (0.55\%) | \| 5 (2.75\%) | \| | 182 |
| Middle Clark Fork River (2017) | 312 (56.83\%) | 170 (30.97\%) | 57 (10.38\%) | \| | \| | 549 |
| Middle Milk River (2017) | 112 (51.14\%) | \| 82 (37.44\%) | \| 10 (4.57\%) | 13 (5.94\%) | \| | 219 |
| Middle Yellowstone River (2017) | 176 (78.92\%) | \| 33 (14.8\%) | 9 (4.04\%) |  |  | 223 |

Table 12. Angler types of fishing by drainage (total days fished and percentages) (continued).

| Missouri River - Dearborn (2017) | 278 (24.32\%) | 705 (61.68\%) | 144 (12.6\%) |  |  | 1143 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Missouri River - Judith (2017) | 210 (63.64\%) | 76 (23.03\%) | 17 (5.15\%) | 3 (0.91\%) |  | 330 |
| Missouri River - Poplar (2017) | 56 (44.8\%) | 59 (47.2\%) | 3 (2.4\%) | 7 (5.6\%) |  | 125 |
| Musselshell River (2017) | 86 (76.11\%) | 18 (15.93\%) | 2 (1.77\%) | 7 (6.19\%) |  | 113 |
| Powder River (2017) | 18 (100\%) |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| Red Rock River (2017) | 66 (47.48\%) | 51 (36.69\%) | 6 (4.32\%) | 14 (10.07\%) | 1 (0.72\%) | 139 |
| Ruby River (2017) | 67 (67\%) | 15 (15\%) | 4 (4\%) | 12 (12\%) | 1 (1\%) | 100 |
| Smith River (2017) | 63 (22.03\%) | 132 (46.15\%) | 78 (27.27\%) | 5 (1.75\%) |  | 286 |
| South Fork Flathead River (2017) | 85 (63.91\%) | 36 (27.07\%) | 12 (9.02\%) |  |  | 133 |
| Sun River (2017) | 123 (71.51\%) | 21 (12.21\%) | 18 (10.47\%) | 6 (3.49\%) |  | 172 |
| Swan River (2017) | 27 (30.34\%) | 51 (57.3\%) | 4 (4.49\%) | 3 (3.37\%) |  | 89 |
| Teton River (2017) | 14 (63.64\%) | 4 (18.18\%) | 3 (13.64\%) | 1 (4.55\%) |  | 22 |
| Tongue River (2017) | 77 (33.19\%) | 113 (48.71\%) | 23 (9.91\%) | 19 (8.19\%) |  | 232 |
| Upper Clark Fork River (2017) | 107 (77.54\%) | 17 (12.32\%) | 14 (10.14\%) |  |  | 138 |
| Upper Milk River (2017) | 17 (34\%) | 27 (54\%) | 4 (8\%) | 2 (4\%) |  | 50 |
| Upper Missouri River (2017) | 552 (29.04\%) | 1037 (54.55\%) | 112 (5.89\%) | 160 (8.42\%) | 4 (0.21\%) | 1901 |
| Upper Yellowstone River (2017) | 1058 (60.39\%) | 431 (24.6\%) | 228 (13.01\%) | 6 (0.34\%) |  | 1752 |

Table 13. Angler types of fishing by Region (days fished and percentages).

| Region (Year) | Shore | Boat | Shore/ Boat | Ice | Ice /Shore | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (2017) | 697 (31.96\%) | 1148 (52.64\%) | 174 (7.98\%) | 112 (5.14\%) |  | 2181 |
| 2 (2017) | 1404 (53.81\%) | 789 (30.24\%) | 218 (8.36\%) | 128 (4.91\%) |  | 2609 |
| 3 (2017) | 2357 (55.25\%) | 1280 (30\%) | 486 (11.39\%) | 87 (2.04\%) | 2 (0.05\%) | 4266 |
| 4 (2017) | 1321 (32.57\%) | 2088 (51.48\%) | 370 (9.12\%) | 186 (4.59\%) | 4 (0.1\%) | 4056 |
| 5 (2017) | 1184 (48.8\%) | 736 (30.34\%) | 464 (19.13\%) | 8 (0.33\%) |  | 2426 |
| 6 (2017) | 354 (29.6\%) | 637 (53.26\%) | 75 (6.27\%) | 123 (10.28\%) |  | 1196 |
| 7 (2017) | 364 (55.74\%) | 217 (33.23\%) |  | 51 (7.81\%) \| | 20 (3.06\%) |  |
| 653 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 14. Angler types of fishing by residency within the state (percent is based on the total number of days which includes null responses).

| License Year | Residency | Shore | Boat | Shore/ Boat | Ice | Ice/Shore | Total Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | R | 5267 (45.23\%) | 4625 (39.71\%) | 1151 (9.88\%) | \| 375 (3.22\%) | \| 6 (0.05\%) | 11646 |
| 2017 | N | 2414 (42.05\%) | 2270 (39.54\%) | 687 (11.97\%) | 289 (5.03\%) | \| | 5741 |

### 4.0 DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### 4.1 SCOPE OF ANGLING PRESSURE

The statewide angling pressure survey was conducted from March 2017 through February 2018. Estimates of pressure by residents and nonresidents were for licensed anglers only. This would encompass anglers 12 years of age and older. Spence (1971) found that the unlicensed angler (ages 2-14) comprised $9 \%$ of the pressure on Rock Creek near Missoula. Peterson (1970) found that the unlicensed angler accounted for $21 \%$ and $19 \%$ of the total number of anglers on Big Spring Creek near Lewistown during 1968 and 1969 respectively. On the Bighorn River near Hardin, Stevenson (1975) found that the unlicensed angler accounted for $14.2 \%$ and $15.8 \%$ of the total number of anglers during 1972 and 1973 respectively. Fredenberg (1984) found that 10\% of the anglers on Bighorn Lake and 13\% of the anglers on the Yellowtail Afterbay were unlicensed. It appears that the unlicensed angler makes up between $9 \%$ and $21 \%$ of the fishing pressure depending on the type of water being fished.

Some angling pressure was obtained on Indian reservations and National Parks within Montana. This pressure was incidental to other fishing trips and only included those anglers that had purchased a Montana fishing license. Since national parks and reservations require different licensing, a complete pressure estimate of waters within those regions was not obtained.

### 4.2 ACCURACY

### 4.2.1 Sampling

Samples were drawn and questionnaires sent to the selected anglers as soon as possible. This was usually 1-2 days after the wave being sampled had ended (see discussion under Methods for details). The use of ALS allows for samples to be drawn right after the month has ended which minimizes inaccurate responses resulting from memory loss over time.

### 4.3 RETURN RATES

Return rates (\# of respondents / [\# of surveys sent - nondeliverables] * 100) were calculated for every wave by residency (Table 2). The weighted average total return rates for residents and nonresidents were $41.3 \%$ and $37.8 \%$ respectively. These are the lowest rates since the surveys first began in 1983, and also reflect a consistent downward trend over that time period (Chart 7). Low return rates do reduce the number of trips reported for individual waterbodies, and increase the associated error surrounding the pressure estimate. Even more problematic is the possibility that the lower return rates are causing or a result of a non-response bias, in which license holders with certain common traits are disproportionately choosing to not participate in the survey. If these non-
respondents are more or less likely to be fishing than are the respondents, then it may be affecting the accuracy of the pressure estimates.

Due to the trend of lower response rates, a phone survey of a sub-set of non-respondents from the upcoming 2019/20 mail survey will be conducted to determine if a non-response bias is occurring that may affect pressure estimates. Specifically, license holders will be asked if they fished during the month and then to identify waters fished and number of days fishing on each water.

## Chart 7. Return rate of mail questionaires for residents and non-residents from 1989 to 2017.



### 4.4 NUMBER OF LICENSED ANGLERS VS PRESSURE

The number of resident anglers showed steady increases from 1967 to 1985 (Chart 8, Table 14). Since 1985 when there were 236,455 licensed anglers, the number has remained within $10 \%$, reaching a low of 216,412 in 1989 and a high of 267,846 in 2015 (numbers for 2017 were not available at the time of this writing). The notable decline from 2010 $(238,942)$ to $2011(228,589)$ may be theorized to be due to stormy weather in the early summer of 2011 that kept many people indoors. Nonresident licensed angler numbers showed strong growth between 1965 and peak numbers in 2002 (Chart 9), increasing from 51,798 to 163,109 during the period. Nonresident license sales then dropped markedly from 2002 and 2011, when 126,617 anglers purchased licenses, but has rebounded and increased every year since then to a high of 192,364 in 2016.

Comparing statewide angling use from the mail survey versus number of anglers shows general agreement between the two variables, at least in terms of long-term trends. The relationship between angler use and number of anglers has remained remarkably consistent for resident anglers (Chart 8). The trend for non-resident anglers is much different. Number of licensed anglers peaked in 2002 and then declined to a 21 -year low in 2011. Since then numbers of licensed anglers have increased every year. Conversely the angling pressure has increased by $70 \%$ since 2007 (Chart 9) and indicates a trend toward non-residents spending more days fishing in Montana.

Table 15. - Number of licensed anglers from 1982 through 2015 by residency.

| Year | Resident Anglers | Nonresident Anglers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 216,689 | 119,293 |
| 1983 | 217,483 | 116,875 |
| 1984 | 232,485 | 102,843 |
| 1985 | 236,455 | 106,304 |
| 1986 | 235,403 | 100,456 |
| 1987 | 233,111 | 103,936 |
| 1988 | 219,299 | 108,471 |
| 1989 | 216,412 | 114,254 |
| 1990 | 217,370 | 119,611 |
| 1991 | 221,723 | 138,243 |
| 1992 | 222,186 | 134,212 |
| 1993 | 226,992 | 151,192 |
| 1994 | 233,630 | 164,841 |
| 1995 | 227,849 | 153,887 |
| 1996 | 227,282 | 150,881 |
| 1997 | 222,442 | 151,244 |
| 1998 | 222,329 | 162,067 |
| 1999 | 228,419 | 162,572 |
| 2000 | 219,282 | 152,158 |
| 2001 | 216,858 | 164,470 |
| 2002 | 222,510 | 220,946 |
| 2003 | 227,562 | 200,647 |
| 2004 | 223,560 | 200,562 |
| 2005 | 233,295 | 185,689 |
| 2006 | 224,526 | 159,846 |
| 2007 | 228,415 | 163,088 |
| 2008 | 240,030 | 155,858 |
| 2009 | 248,945 | 159,032 |
| 2010 | 238,942 | 154,184 |
| 2011 | 228,589 | 126,617 |
| 2012 | 241,519 | 157,763 |
| 2013 | 254,473 | 170,415 |
| 2014 | 258,846 | 178,290 |
| 2015 | 267,846 | 189,916 |
| 2016 | 254,016 | 192,364 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Chart 8. Angling pressure versus number of anglers for residents from 1965 to 2017.



Chart 9. Angling pressure versus number of anglers for nonresidents from 1965 to 2017.
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### 6.0 EXAMPLES OF QUESTIONNAIRES

The August 2017 questionnaire is an example of an initial mail form, while the February 2018 questionnaire is an example of a re-mail form.

### 7.0 BOUNDARIES OF WATERS BROKEN INTO SECTIONS

| STREAM NAME | WATER CODE |  | DOWNSTREAM POINT | UPSTREAM POINT |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| BEAVER CREEK | SEC 01 | $15-0280$ | MOUTH | BEAVER CREEK RES. |
|  | SEC 02 | $15-0320$ | BEAVER CREEK RES | BEAR PAW LAKE |
|  | SEC 03 | $15-0340$ | BEAR PAW LAKE | ROCKY BOY INDIAN R |
|  | SEC 04 | $15-0360$ | ROCKY BOY INDIAN RES | HEADWATERS |
| BIG HOLE R. | SEC 01 | $02-0425$ |  |  |
|  | SEC 02 | $02-0450$ | DOUTH | DIVIDE CREEK |


| WAM NAME WATER CODE |  |  | DOWNSTREAM POINT | UPSTREAM POIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HYALITE CREEK | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{K} \text { SEC } 01 \\ \text { SEC } 02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 09-2546 \\ & 09-6802 \end{aligned}$ | MOUTH <br> HYALITE RESERVOIR | HYALITE RESERVOIR HYALITE LAKE |
| JUDITH RIVER | SEC 01 <br> SEC 02 | $\begin{aligned} & 16-1800 \\ & 16-1820 \end{aligned}$ | MOUTH <br> PLUM CREEK | PLUM CREEK HEADWATERS |
| LITTLE BIGHOR | RN RIVER <br> SEC 01 <br> SEC 02 | $\begin{aligned} & 22-3654 \\ & 22-3668 \end{aligned}$ | MOUTH <br> LODGE GRASS CREEK | LODGE GRASS CREEK HEADWATERS |
| LITTLE BLACKF |  | $\begin{aligned} & 06-3772 \\ & 06-3591 \end{aligned}$ | MOUTH <br> ELLISTON | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELLISTON } \\ & \text { HEADWATERS } \end{aligned}$ |
| MADISON RIVER | R <br> SEC 01 <br> SEC 02 <br> SEC 03 | $\begin{gathered} 13-3400 \\ 13-3440 \\ 13-3520 \end{gathered}$ | MOUTH <br> ENNIS LAKE <br> HEBGEN LAKE | ENNIS DAM <br> HEBGEN DAM <br> YELLOWSTONE PARK |
| MARIAS RIVER | SEC 01 SEC 02 | $\begin{aligned} & 14-3240 \\ & 14-3280 \end{aligned}$ | MOUTH <br> LAKE ELWELL | TIBER DAM CUT BANK CREEK |
| MILK RIVER | SEC 01 SEC 02 SEC 03 SEC 04 SEC 05 SEC 06 | $\begin{aligned} & 15-2680 \\ & 15-2720 \\ & 15-2760 \\ & 15-2800 \\ & 15-2840 \\ & 15-2880 \end{aligned}$ | MOUTH <br> HINSDALE <br> MALTA <br> HAVRE <br> FRESNO RESERVOIR <br> CANADA | HINSDALE <br> MALTA <br> HAVRE <br> FRESNO DAM <br> CANADA <br> MIDDLE \& SOUTH FORKS |
| MISSOURI RIVER | R <br> SEC 01A <br> SEC 01B <br> SEC 05 <br> SEC 06A <br> SEC 06B <br> SEC 07 <br> SEC 08 <br> SEC 09 <br> SEC 10A <br> SEC 10B <br> SEC 11 <br> SEC 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 16-2420 \\ & 16-2421 \\ & 16-2500 \\ & 16-2521 \\ & 16-2522 \\ & 17-4864 \\ & 17-4880 \\ & 17-4896 \\ & 17-4913 \\ & 17-4914 \\ & 17-4928 \\ & 17-4944 \end{aligned}$ | N DAKOTA BORDER POPLAR RIVER MILK RIVER <br> FT PECK RES BLAIN/CHOUT CO LINE MARIAS RIVER MORONY DAM CASCADE BRIDGE HOLTER LAKE HAUSER LAKE CANYON FERRY RES TOSTON DAM | POPLAR RIVER <br> MILK RIVER <br> FORT PECK DAM <br> BLAIN/CHOUT CO LINE <br> MARIAS RIVER <br> MORONY DAM <br> CASCADE BRIDGE <br> HOLTER DAM <br> HAUSER DAM <br> CANYON FERRY DAM <br> TOSTON DAM <br> HEADWATERS |
| MUSSELSHELL | RIVER <br> SEC 01 <br> SEC 02 | $\begin{aligned} & 18-4320 \\ & 18-4350 \end{aligned}$ | MOUTH <br> RT 3 BRIDGE NEAR LAVINA | RT 3 BRIDGE NEAR LAVINA HEADWATERS |
| POPLAR RIVER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEC } 01 \\ & \text { SEC } 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16-2820 \\ & 16-2375 \end{aligned}$ | MOUTH <br> E FK POPLAR RIVER | E FK POPLAR RIVER CANADA |
| PRYOR CREEK | SEC 01 <br> SEC 02 | $\begin{aligned} & 22-4802 \\ & 22-4816 \end{aligned}$ | MOUTH <br> PRYOR | PRYOR <br> HEADWATERS |

STREAM NAME WATER CODE DOWNSTREAM POINT UPSTREAM POINT

| RED ROCK RIVER |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SEC 01 | 01-6140 | MOUTH | LIMA DAM |
|  | SEC 02 | 01-6160 | LIMA RESERVOIR | UPPER RED ROCK LK |
| ROCK CREEK | SEC 01 | 06-5263 | MOUTH | HOGBACK CREEK |
|  | SEC 02 | 06-5282 | HOGBACK CREEK | HEADWATERS |
| ROCK CREEK | SEC 01 | 22-4928 | MOUTH | W FK (CHROME CAMP) |
|  | SEC 02 | 22-4956 | W FK (CHROME CAMP) | HEADWATERS |
| RUBY RIVER | SEC 01 | 01-6360 | MOUTH | RUBY RESERVOIR |
|  | SEC 02 | 01-6380 | RUBY RESERVOIR | HEADWATERS |
| SHIELDS RIVER |  |  |  |  |
|  | SEC 01 | 22-5334 | MOUTH | CLYDE PARK |
|  | SEC 02 | 22-5348 | CLYDE PARK | WILSALL |
|  | SEC 03 | 22-5362 | WILSALL | HEADWATERS |
| SMITH RIVER | SEC 01 | 17-6816 | MOUTH | HOUND CREEK |
|  | SEC 02 | 17-6832 | HOUND CREEK | CAMP BAKER |
|  | SEC 03 | 17-6833 | CAMP BAKER | HEADWATERS |
| STILLWATER R | R SEC 01 | 22-6104 | MOUTH | WEST FORK (NYE) |
|  | SEC 02 | 22-6118 | WEST FORK (NYE) | HEADWATERS |
| SUN RIVER | SEC 01 | 20-6050 | MOUTH | MUDDY CREEK |
|  | SEC 02 | 20-6100 | MUDDY CREEK | GIBSON DAM |
| SWAN RIVER | SEC 01 | 07-4560 | MOUTH | SWAN LAKE |
|  | SEC 02 | 07-4580 | SWAN LAKE | HEADWATERS |
| TETON RIVER | SEC 01 | 14-6000 | MOUTH | CHOTEAU |
|  | SEC 02 | 14-6040 | CHOTEAU | HEADWATERS |
| THOMPSON RIVER |  |  |  |  |
|  | SEC 01 | 05-7248 | MOUTH | BEND RANGER STATION |
|  | SEC 02 | 05-7264 | BEND RANGER STATION | HEADWATERS |
| TONGUE RIVER |  |  |  |  |
|  | SEC 01 | 21-1150 | MOUTH | BEAVER CREEK |
|  | SEC 02 | 21-1200 | BEAVER CREEK | TONGUE RIVER DAM |
|  | SEC 03 | 21-1250 | TONGUE RIVER RES | WYOMING BORDER |
| W FK STILLWATER RIVER |  |  |  |  |
|  | SEC 01 | 22-6664 | MOUTH | IRON CREEK |
|  | SEC 02 | 22-6678 | IRON CREEK | HEADWATERS |
| YAAK RIVER | SEC 01 | 11-7740 | MOUTH | FALLS |
|  | SEC 02 | 11-7760 | FALLS | HEADWATERS |
| YELLOWSTONE RIVER |  |  |  |  |
|  | SEC 01 | 21-1350 | N DAKOTA BORDER | POWDER RIVER |
|  | SEC 02 | 21-1400 | POWDER RIVER | BIGHORN RIVER |
|  | SEC 03 | 22-7001 | BIGHORN RIVER | HUNTLEY DIVERSION |

STREAM NAME WATER CODE DOWNSTREAM POINT UPSTREAM POINT
YELLOWSTONE RIVER (con't)

| SEC 04 | $22-7015$ | HUNTLEY DIVERSION | CLARKS FORK RIVER |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| SEC 05 | $22-7028$ | CLARKS FORK RIVER | STILLWATER RIVER |
| SEC 06A | $22-7043$ | STILLWATER RIVER | REED POINT BRIDGE |
| SEC 06B | $22-7044$ | REED POINT BRIDGE | BOULDER RIVER |
| SEC 07A | $22-7057$ | BOULDER RIVER | SPRINGDALE |
| SEC 07B | $22-7058$ | SPRINGDALE | SHIELDS RIVER |
| SEC 08 | $22-7071$ | SHIELDS RIVER | PINE CREEK |
| SEC 09A | $22-7072$ | PINE CREEK | EMIGRANT BRIDGE |
| SEC 09B | $22-7073$ | EMIGRANT BRIDGE | TOM MINER CREEK |
| SEC 10 | $22-7084$ | TOM MINER CREEK | GARDINER |

